

## **DRIVING ASSIST SYSTEM**

### **BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION**

#### **1. Field of the Invention**

5        *The present invention relates to driving assist systems and methods for assisting efforts by operators to operate vehicles in traveling.*

#### **2. Description of the Background Art**

10        *There is a need for a driving assist system, which can reliably assist effort by an operator to operate a vehicle, such as, an automobile, in traveling. Currently available automobiles are equipped with a data acquisition system and a controller including a processor. Such data acquisition system can continuously acquire data including information on vehicle state,*  
15        *such as current vehicle velocity, and information on environment in a field around the vehicle. The information on environment includes the presence of obstacles, including a leading vehicle ahead.*

20        *Ideally, a driving assist system should avoid objection by the operator of the automobile in assisting the operator manual effort to reduce a risk of collision with an obstacle. However, if the vehicle should encounter risky environment involving the presence of an obstacle, the driving assist system should avoid collision with the obstacle. Thus, there is a need for a system,*  
25        *which performs assist so that the operator can continue driving comfortably without any objectionable feel due to such assist.*

30        *JP-A 10-211886, published on August 11, discloses a steering control system, which modulates power-assist for avoidance of collision upon recognition of a risk within a field around the vehicle. This steering control system computes the magnitude of risk with respect to each of obstacles within the field around the vehicle. The magnitude of risk reflects the magnitude of impact upon collision with the obstacle. The*

magnitude of Impact depends mainly upon the relative velocity between the vehicle and the obstacle. Accounting for this fact, the known system computes an individual risk  $R(i)$  from an angular location  $\alpha(i)$  expressed in terms of an azimuth angle of an obstacle  $i$ , a distance or separation  $d(i)$  between the subject vehicle and the obstacle  $i$ , and an odd function of the relative velocity  $V_{ref}(i)$  between the subject vehicle and the obstacle  $i$ . This odd function involves a term of  $V_{ref}(i)^n$  ( $n =$  a real number greater than or equal to 3). The individual risk  $R(i)$  is expressed as,

$$R(i) = \frac{f(V_{ref}(i))^k}{d(i)} W(\alpha(i)) \quad \text{Eq. 1}$$

where,

$f(V_{ref}(i)) =$  Odd function that involves a term of  $V_{ref}(i)^n$  ( $n \geq 3$ );  
 $d(i) =$  Distance (or separation) between the subject vehicle and the obstacle  $i$ ;  
 $k =$  Constant to adjust the distance  $d(i)$ ; and  
 $W(\alpha(i)) =$  Weighting component applied to the obstacle  $i$  appearing in the angular location  $\alpha$ .

The individual risks are summed vectorially to produce a resultant risk  $R$ , which is expressed as,

$$R = \sum_i R(i) \quad \text{Eq. 2}$$

The known steering control system is capable of avoiding collision with the obstacle by assisting the operator to operate the vehicle laterally to clear the obstacle. However, this system appears to be insufficient to avoid objectionable feel, which the operator might have. The operator may have such objectionable feel upon occurrence of a power assist, which has magnitude determined by the risk  $R$ , because a discrepancy exists between the risk  $R$  and a risk that is perceived by the

operator. When the vehicle encounters an obstacle, the magnitude of risk  $R$  is proportional to the cube of the relative velocity between the vehicle and the obstacle, whereas the magnitude of risk perceived by the operator is proportional to the square of the relative velocity.

It is therefore an object of the present invention to provide a driving assist system for assisting effort by an operator to operate a vehicle in traveling, which can assist the operator without causing the operator to have any objectionable feel.

It is another object of the present invention to provide a simple and economical driving assist system for assisting effort by an operator to operate a vehicle in traveling.

### **SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION**

The present invention provides, in one aspect thereof, a driving assist system for assisting effort by an operator to operate a vehicle in traveling, the driving assist system comprising:

a data acquisition system acquiring data including information on vehicle state and information on environment in a field around the vehicle;

a controller, mounted to the vehicle, for receiving the acquired data, for determining future environment in the field using the acquired data, for making an operator response plan in response to the determined future environment, which plan prompts the operator to operating the vehicle in a desired manner for the determined future environment, to determine command, and for generating the command; and

at least one actuator, mounted to the vehicle, for prompting the operator in response to the command to operating the vehicle in the desired manner.

The present invention provides, in second aspect thereof, a driving assist system for assisting effort by an operator to operate a vehicle in traveling, the driving assist system

comprising:

a data acquisition system acquiring data involving information on the presence of a leading vehicle in a field around the vehicle; and

5 a controller, mounted to the vehicle, for receiving the acquired data, for determining a first extent to which the vehicles has approached to each other and a second extent to which the first extent might be influenced if a change in environment should occur, and for determining future  
10 environment in the field based on the first and second extents.

The present invention provides, in third aspect thereof, a driving assist system for assisting effort by an operator to operate a vehicle in traveling, the driving assist system comprising:

15 a data acquisition system acquiring data involving information on the presence of an obstacle in a field around the vehicle;

a controller, mounted to the vehicle, for receiving the acquired data, for determining a risk which the obstacle would  
20 cause the operator to perceive, for allocating commands for prompting the operator to operating the vehicle longitudinally and laterally, and for generating the commands; and

a plurality of actuators, mounted to the vehicle, to prompt the operator in response to the commands to operating the  
25 vehicle longitudinally and laterally.

#### **BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS**

The present invention will be apparent from reading of the following description in conjunction with the accompanying drawings.

30 Figure 1 is a control diagram showing control of a driving assist system.

Figure 2 illustrate parameters relevant to a leading and following traffic scene.

*Figure 3 is a block diagram showing hardware of a first exemplary implementation of the present invention.*

*Figure 4 is a view illustrating how the hardware of the first implementation is arranged on an automobile.*

5      *Figure 5 is a block diagram showing hardware of a second exemplary implementation of the present invention.*

*Figure 6 is a view illustrating how the hardware of the second implementation is arranged on an automobile.*

10      *Figure 7 is a flow diagram illustrating the operation of the first implementation.*

*Figure 8 is a schematic view illustrating one example of current environment in a field around the subject vehicle, which is determined by the first implementation.*

15      *Figure 9 is a schematic view illustrating a future environment in the field around the subject vehicle, which is predicted by the first implementation, and the optimum path.*

*Figure 10 is a timing diagram illustrating an example of a variation curve of the optimum steering angle for the vehicle to track the optimum path.*

20      *Figure 11 is a graphical representation of an example of steering reaction characteristic, which is provided at a moment of the curve of Figure 10, to prompt the vehicle operator to operating the steering wheel to the optimum steering angle.*

25      *Figure 12 is a flow diagram illustrating the operation of a second exemplary implementation of the present invention.*

*Figure 13 is a schematic view illustrating one example of current environment in a field around the subject vehicle, which is determined by the second implementation.*

30      *Figure 14 is a schematic view illustrating a future environment in the field around the subject vehicle, which is predicted by the second implementation.*

*Figure 15 is a timing diagram illustrating an example of a variation curve of the optimum vehicle velocity.*

Figures 16A and 16B are timing diagrams illustrating the optimum coordinated variations of accelerator and brake pedal angular positions.

5 Figure 17 is a graphical representation of one example of accelerator pedal reaction characteristic, which is provided at a moment of the curve of Figure 16A, to prompt the vehicle operator to operating the accelerator pedal to the optimum pedal position.

10 Figure 18 is a graphical representation of one example of brake pedal reaction characteristic, which is provided at a moment of the curve of Figure 16B, to prompt the vehicle operator to operating the brake pedal to the optimum pedal position.

15 Figure 19 is a schematic view of a servo motor of an accelerator reaction characteristic modulation actuator in operative relationship with an accelerator pedal.

Figure 20 is a block diagram showing hardware of a third exemplary implementation of the present invention.

20 Figure 21 is a view illustrating how the hardware of the third implementation is arranged on an automobile.

Figure 22 is a view illustrating an accelerator pedal reaction modulation mechanism.

Figure 23 is a graph illustrating the relationship between accelerator pedal reaction force and stroke.

25 Figure 24 is a flow diagram illustrating the operation of the third implementation.

Figure 25 is a timing diagram illustrating how, in a traffic scene in Figure 2, a vehicle operator predicts a change in velocity of a leading vehicle.

30 Figure 26 is a RP map.

Figure 27 is another RP map.

Figure 28 is a block diagram showing hardware of a fourth exemplary implementation of the present invention.

*Figure 29 a view illustrating how the hardware of the third implementation is arranged on an automobile.*

*Figure 30 is a flow diagram illustrating the operation of the fourth implementation.*

5     *Figure 31 is a graphical representation of a function determining a period of time that is used for prediction of a future environment in a field around the vehicle.*

10     *Figure 32 is a flow diagram illustrating the operation of a first embodiment of a fifth exemplary implementation of the present invention.*

*Figure 33 is a flow diagram illustrating the operation of a second embodiment of the fifth exemplary implementation of the present invention.*

15     *Figure 34 is a graphical representation illustrating the varying of risk perceived  $RP$  calculated as compared to risk actually perceived by operator during the first half and the second half when the vehicle is approaching to a leading vehicle.*

*Figure 35 is a graphical representation illustrating risks  $RP_0$  and  $RP_1$ .*

20     *Figure 36 is a map for parameters  $a$ ,  $b$ .*

*Figure 37 is a graphical representation illustrating risks  $RP_0$ ,  $RP_1$ , and  $RP_2$ .*

*Figure 38 is a block diagram showing hardware of an eighth exemplary implementation of the present invention.*

25     *Figure 39 is a view how the hardware of the eighth implementation is arranged on an automobile.*

*Figure 40 is a flow diagram illustrating the operation of the eighth implementation.*

30     *Figure 41 is a graphical representation of the varying of variance of separation for laser radar as compared to that for a CCD camera.*

*Figure 42 is a graphical representation of the varying of variance of relative velocity for the laser radar as compared to*

that for the CCD camera.

Figure 43 is a graphical representation of the varying of variance of separation for the CCD camera with different kinds of obstacles.

5        Figure 44 is a graphical representation of the varying of variance of relative velocity for the CCD camera with different kinds of obstacles.

Figure 45 is an accelerator pedal reaction command ( $F_A$ ) map.

10       Figure 46 is a brake pedal reaction command ( $F_B$ ) map.

Figure 47 is a steering reaction command ( $F_S$ ) map.

Figure 48 is a flow diagram illustrating the operation of a ninth exemplary implementation of the present invention.

15       Figure 49 is a map illustrating the relationship between  $RP_K$  and operator inputs.

Figure 50 is a map illustrating the relationship between  $RP_{Longitudinal}$  and an operator input for opening the vehicle longitudinally.

20       Figure 51 is a map illustrating the relationship between  $RP_{Lateral}$  and an operator input for operating the vehicle laterally.

Figure 52 is an accelerator pedal reaction command ( $F_A$ ) map.

Figure 53 is a brake pedal reaction command ( $F_B$ ) map.

Figure 54 is a steering reaction command ( $F_S$ ) map.

25       Figure 55 illustrates an accelerator pedal reaction characteristic according to the ninth implementation.

Figure 56 illustrates a brake pedal reaction characteristic according to the ninth implementation.

30       Figure 57 illustrates a steering reaction characteristic according to the ninth implementation.

Figure 58 is a flow diagram illustrating the operation of a tenth exemplary implementation of the present invention.

Figure 59 is a gain map according to the tenth



implementation.

### **DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION**

Referring to Figure 1, a box 10 represents a vehicle operator, and a box 12 represents input devices. Input devices  
5 12 represent a steering wheel, an accelerator pedal and a brake pedal, which a motor vehicle 14 is usually equipped with.

Vehicle operator 10 perceives the vehicle state through normal senses, represented by feedback line 16, and environment or situation in a field around the vehicle through  
10 normal senses, represented by line 18. The operator applies manual effort, represented by line 20, to the accelerator pedal, represented by input device 12 sending a power request command to an engine controller, not shown, of vehicle 14 through line 22. The operator applies manual effort,  
15 represented by line 24, to the brake pedal, represented by input device 12, sending a brake request command to a braking system, not shown, of vehicle 14 through line 26. The operator applies manual steering effort, represented by 28, to the steering wheel, represented by input device 12 sending a  
20 steering request command to a steering system, not shown, of vehicle 14 through line 30. Input device 12 provides reaction force to the manual effort by the operator.

The reference numeral 40 generally indicates a driving assist system for assisting effort by operator 10 to operate  
25 vehicle 14 in travelling. A data acquisition system (DAS) 42 arranged on or off the vehicle, continuously acquires data including information on the state of vehicle 14 and information on environment in a field around the vehicle. The information on the vehicle state includes velocity  $V_f$  of vehicle 14. The  
30 information on environment includes the presence of obstacles, such as, a leading vehicle in the same lane and other adjacent vehicles in the same or other lanes, in the field around vehicle 14. DAS 42 provides the presence of each obstacle in terms of

angular location of the obstacle with respect to the subject vehicle 14 and relative velocity  $V_r$  between the obstacle and the subject vehicle 14. DAS 42 may provide velocity of each of the obstacles. The information on environment also includes the presence of lane markings in the field around vehicle 14. DAS 42 may provide the presence of lane markings in terms of lateral displacement of the subject vehicle 14. DAS 42 may provide weather condition in the way of the subject vehicle 14.

Driving assist system 40 receives and uses the acquired data, represented by line 44. A predicting module 46 uses the acquired data 44 to determine or predict future environment in the field around vehicle 14. Using the determined future environment as input 48, a plan making or building module 50 makes or builds an operator-response plan, which prompts vehicle operator 10 to operating vehicle 14 in a desired manner for the predicted future environment. To implement the operator-response plan, command or commands are determined. The determined command or commands are generated and provided to actuator(s) 52 through line 54. In response to the command(s), actuator(s) 52 prompts the vehicle operator 10 to operating the vehicle 14 in the desired manner.

In embodiments, the actuator(s) 52 acts on input device 12 through line 56 to modulate reaction characteristic to manual effort applied to the input device by the vehicle operator 10. In one embodiment, the future environment determined by predicting module 46 involves a risk which each of the determined obstacles would cause the vehicle operator 10 to perceive. Such risks are summed vectorially to produce a resultant risk. In this case, the planning module 50 makes an operator-response plan that prompts operator 10 to operating vehicle in a desired manner to reduce the resultant risk. In another embodiment, the future environment further involves a

*risk derived from the road condition. Such risk is determined by a lateral deviation of vehicle 14 from a lane and by curvature of the lane. In this embodiment, the planning module 50 makes an operator response plan that prompts operator 10 to operating*  
5 *vehicle in a desired manner to reduce the resultant risk superimposed by the risk derived from the road condition. In the embodiments, the operator-response plan made by planning module 50 includes the amount input to the actuator(s) 52.*

*Building of the operator response plan must account for*  
10 *type of actuator(s) 52 employed. In one embodiment where the actuator(s) 52 is capable of modulating reaction characteristic to manual steering effort by operator 10, plan making module 50 can make an operator-response plan, which prompts vehicle operator 10 to operating vehicle 14 laterally in such a manner as*  
15 *to reduce the resultant risk. In another embodiment where the actuator(s) 52 is capable of modulating reaction characteristic to manual effort applied to the accelerator pedal along with modulating reaction characteristic to manual steering effort, plan making module 50 can make an operator-response plan,*  
20 *which prompts vehicle operator 10 to operating vehicle 14 longitudinally and laterally in such a manner as to reduce the resultant risk.*

*While the plan-making module 50 and predicting module 4646 can be implemented in hardware or software, it is most*  
25 *likely implemented in software. In a software implementation, the modules 46 and 50 represent software instructions stored in a memory of a computer, and executed in the processor, and the acquired data stored in memory. In hardware implementations, the modules 46 and 50 are implemented in digital logic,*  
30 *preferably in an integrated circuit.*

*Before describing implementations in detail, it is helpful to begin with consideration of parameters that define relationship between the two adjacent vehicles on the same lane. Figure 2*

*illustrates the parameters relevant to two adjacent vehicles in travel on the same lane at different velocities. In the parameters, time to contact TTC and time headway THW are described later*

5        *The following description provides various implementations of the present invention.*

*First Implementation of the Invention*

*Figure 3 provides hardware, and Figure 4 illustrates how the hardware is arranged on an automobile 70.*

10        *In Figure 3, the reference numeral 40A generally indicates a driving assist system. Driving assist system 40A includes an on board data acquisition system (DAS) 72. DAS 72, mounted to the vehicle 70, includes laser radar 74, a front camera 76, a rear right side (RRS) camera 78R, a rear left side (RLS) camera*  
15        *78L, and a source of vehicle speed 80.*

*Referring to Figure 4, vehicle 70 has laser radar 74 mounted to a front bumper thereof. Laser radar 74 may be mounted to a front grille of the vehicle. Laser radar 74 is of a type which can scan horizontally and laterally about 6 degrees to*  
20        *each side of an axis parallel to the vehicle longitudinal centerline, propagates infrared pulses forwardly and receives the reflected radiation by an obstacle, such as, a rear bumper of a leading vehicle. Laser radar 74 can provide an angular location of the leading vehicle and a vehicle separation or a distance between*  
25        *the subject vehicle 70 and the leading vehicle.*

*Front camera 76 is of a CCD type or a CMOS type and mounted to vehicle 70 in the vicinity of the internal rear view mirror to acquire image data of a region in front of the vehicle. The region covered by front camera 76 extends from a camera*  
30        *axis laterally to each side by about 30 degrees.*

*RRS and RLS cameras 78R and 78L are, each, of a CCD type or a CMOS type. RRS camera 78R is mounted to vehicle 70 in the vicinity of the internal rear right corner to acquire image*

*data of rear scenery including the adjacent lane on the right-hand side. RLS camera 78R is mounted to vehicle 70 in the vicinity of the internal rear left corner to acquire image data of rear scenery including the adjacent lane on the left-hand side.*

5       *Source of vehicle speed 80 may obtain a measure of vehicle velocity by processing outputs from wheel speed sensors. The source of vehicle speed 80 may include an engine controller or a transmission controller, which can provide a signal indicative of the vehicle velocity.*

10       *Driving assist system 40A includes a microprocessor-based controller 82. Controller 82 receives the acquired data from DAS 72. From source of vehicle speed 80, controller 82 receives information on the vehicle velocity  $V_r$ . From laser radar 74, controller 82 receives information on*  
15 *vehicle separation  $D$  between the subject vehicle 70 and the adjacent leading vehicle. From the image data provided by front camera 76, controller 82 receives information on vehicle separation  $D$  between the subject vehicle 70 and each of vehicles in front. From the image data provided by RRS and RLS*  
20 *cameras 78R and 78L, controller 82 receives information on the presence of vehicles, in the adjacent lanes, approaching from the rear, and it also receives information on extent to which each vehicle is approaching. From the image data provided by front camera 76, controller 82 receives information on the presence of*  
25 *lane markings on a road, information on the lateral position of the vehicle relative to the lane markings, and information on the angular position of the vehicle relative to the lane markings. Using such information, controller 82 determines current environment or situation in a field around vehicle 70. Using the*  
30 *current and past values of environment, controller 82 predicts or determines future environment in the field around vehicle 70 for building of an operator response plan.*

*According to this implementation, the operator response*

plan includes using the current and future environments to determine the optimum path for vehicle 70 to take, establishing the optimum steering angle for vehicle 70 to track the determined optimum path, and determining the optimum steering reaction characteristic for prompting the vehicle operator to operating the steering wheel to the optimum steering angle. Controller 82 determines the amount of command in response to the determined optimum steering reaction characteristic. Controller 82 generates the command and applied it to a steering reaction modulation actuator 84.

Steering reaction modulation actuator 84 includes a controller called a steering reaction characteristic modulator 86 and a servo motor 88. Servo motor 88 is incorporated in the steering mechanism to modulate steering reaction characteristic to operator steering effort. Modulator 86 controls output torque of servo motor 88 in response to the command from controller 82 such that the steering reaction characteristic is adjusted to the reaction characteristic determined at controller 82.

The flow diagram in Figure 7 illustrates a control routine of the first implementation of the present invention. The control routine, generally indicated at 100, is executed at regular time intervals of 50 milliseconds.

At input box 102, the processor of controller 82 inputs acquired data by DAS 72. Specifically, the processor inputs vehicle velocity  $V_r$ , an angular location of a leading vehicle and a vehicle separation  $D$  between the subject vehicle 70 and the leading vehicle, and relative relation between the subject vehicle 70 and each of vehicles that are determined by RRS and RLS cameras 78R and 78L.

At box 104, using the input data at box 102, the processor determines current environment in a field around vehicle 70. Particularly, the processor builds a current hazard map visualizing the possibility of collision of the subject vehicle 70

with each of other vehicles from current and past values of the acquired data at box 102.

The possibility of collision with another vehicle lowers as the vehicle 70 separates from it. This possibility may be expressed as a function of the reciprocal of vehicle separation or the reciprocal of the square of vehicle separation. If the relative velocity between the two vehicles can be neglected, isograms in the hazard map are concentric circles with the location of the vehicle as the center. However, if the relative velocity between the two vehicles is not negligible, the isograms protrude in the direction of a vector of the relative velocity.

Accounting for the vehicle lateral position from the lane marking and the road curvature has proven to be effective for enhanced building of a hazard map. The possibility of collision grows big as the vehicle gets close to the lane marking separating the adjacent lanes or to the edge of a road. Weighting component is the least when the vehicle follows the centerline of a lane, and it gets great as the vehicle is close the lane marking or to the edge of road. With different weighting components, this collision possibility due to the lane marking and the before-mentioned collision possibility due to other vehicles are summed to provide enhanced hazard map. The weighting component applied to the collision possibility due to other vehicles is less than the weighting component applied to the collision possibility due to the lane markings.

Figure 8 illustrates an example of a current environment expressed in terms of a hazard map at the moment ( $t = 0$ ). The environment involves a vehicle traveling scenario on a road with three lanes. In the middle lane, the subject vehicle 70 is following a leading vehicle 120. Vehicle 70 is traveling at a vehicle velocity  $V_f$ , while vehicle 120 is traveling at a vehicle velocity  $V_a$ . As  $V_f > V_a$ , a vector originating at vehicle 120 indicates the relative velocity between the two vehicles 70 and

120. In the left adjacent lane, a vehicle 122 is traveling at a vehicle velocity lower than  $V_r$ . A vector originating at vehicle 122 indicates the relative velocity between the two vehicles 70 and 122. In the right adjacent lane, a vehicle 124 is traveling at a  
5 vehicle velocity higher than  $V_r$ .

At the moment ( $t = 0$ ), vehicle 122 closes a path 126 for vehicle 70 to take to the left adjacent lane. Thus, path 126 is not justified. Apparently, vehicle 124 is about to close space in the right adjacent lane, closing any path for vehicle 70 to take to the  
10 right adjacent lane. The vehicle separation between the two vehicles 70 and 120 allows vehicle 70 to stay in the middle lane. In this environment, what the vehicle operator has to do is to continue driving vehicle 70 in the middle lane.

At box 106, after it has determined the current  
15 environment, the processor predicts or determines future environments related to different future moments. The different future moments have different time values, respectively. The time values are arranged regularly with the largest time value being a predetermined time value of 5 seconds in this  
20 implementation.

Figure 9 illustrates an example of one future environment expressed in terms of a hazard map at a future moment ( $t = T$ ).

At this future moment ( $t = T$ ), vehicle 122 opens a path 126 for vehicle 70 to take to the left adjacent although the  
25 vehicle separation between vehicles 70 and 120 has reduced and vehicle 124 has closed space in the right adjacent lane. In this future environment, the path 126 is justified and may be set as the optimum path at the future moment ( $t = T$ ).

At box 108, the processor uses the predicted future  
30 environments to determine the optimum path at each of the moments. The following provides a description on what has been taken into account in determining the optimum path at each moment. The optimum path has been determined by



finding, in a hazard map for each moment, a provisional location, within an area around the location of vehicle 70, where the collision possibility is the local minimum. The processor checks on one after another of the provisional location and the adjacent  
5 other locations whether or not lateral acceleration and yaw angular rate due to a change from the current location to the one of the locations falls within an acceptable range. If the provisional location has past the test, it is used as the optimum location. If not, any one of the adjacent other locations that has  
10 past the text is used as the optimum location.

At box 110, the processor determines the optimum steering angle  $\delta^*$  to accomplish the optimum path, and the optimum steering reaction characteristic to prompt the vehicle operator to operating the steering wheel to the optimum  
15 steering angle  $\delta^*$ . The optimum steering angle  $\delta^*$  may be determined by the steering characteristic and the optimum path. Figure 10 provides an example of a variation curve of the optimum steering angle  $\delta^*$ . Figure 11 provides one example of steering reaction characteristic, which is provided at a moment  
20 of the variation curve of Figure 10, to prompt the vehicle operator to operating the steering wheel at the optimum steering angle  $\delta^*$  at the moment. The steering reaction characteristic of Figure 11 provides almost zero reaction force ( $F_s = 0$ ) at the optimum steering angle  $\delta^*$ . As the steering angle  $\delta$  moves to the right out of this optimum steering angle  $\delta^*$ , the  
25 steering reaction force  $F_s$  increases at slope angle of  $K_{SR}$ . As the steering angle  $\delta$  moves to the left out of this optimum steering angle  $\delta^*$ , the steering reaction force  $F_s$  increases at slope angle of  $K_{SL}$ .

30 The slope angles  $K_{SR}$  and  $K_{SL}$  are not fixed and determined by gradient of the collision possibility on one side in the vicinity of the optimum path and gradient on the opposite side in the vicinity thereof. Such gradients can be given from isograms on

*both sides of the optimum path within the predicted hazard maps (see box 106 in Figure 7). Operator steering effort to increase deviation from the optimum path to left or right is opposed by a reaction force determined by slope angle  $K_{SL}$  or  $K_{SR}$ .*

5 *If a rapid change in the collision possibility exists on the right side of the optimum path, the slope angle  $K_{SR}$  increases to provide increased reaction force. If a rapid change in the collision possibility exists on the left side of the optimum path, the slope angle  $K_{SL}$  increases to provide increased reaction force. This*  
10 *steering reaction characteristic prompts the vehicle operator to operating the steering wheel to track the optimum path.*

*At output box 112, the processor outputs command indicative of the determined reaction characteristic to steering reaction modulation actuator 84 (see Figure 3). The command*  
15 *is applied to the modulator 86 of the actuator 84. Under the control of the modulator 86, servo motor 88 operates to provide the determined steering reaction characteristic to the manual steering effort applied to the steering wheel by the vehicle operator.*

## 20 *Second Implementation of the Invention*

*Figure 5 provides hardware, and Figure 6 illustrates how the hardware is arranged on an automobile 70B.*

*In Figure 5, the reference numeral 40A generally indicates a driving assist system. Driving assist system 40B includes an*  
25 *on board data acquisition system (DAS) 72B. DAS 72B, mounted to the vehicle 70B, includes laser radar 74, a front camera 76, and a source of vehicle speed 80. DAS 72B is substantially the same as DAS 72 except the elimination of RRS and RLS cameras 78R and 78L.*

30 *Referring to Figure 6, vehicle 70B has laser radar 74 mounted to a front bumper thereof. Front camera 76 of a CCD type or a CMOS type is mounted to vehicle 70B in the vicinity of the internal rear view mirror to acquire image data of a region in*

front of the vehicle. Source of vehicle speed 80 may obtain a measure of vehicle velocity by processing outputs from wheel speed sensors. The source of vehicle speed 80 may include an engine controller or a transmission controller, which can provide  
5 a signal indicative of the vehicle velocity.

In Figure 5, driving assist system 40B includes a microprocessor based controller 82B. Controller 82B receives the acquired data from DAS 72B. From source of vehicle speed 80, controller 82B receives information on the vehicle velocity  $V_f$ .  
10 From laser radar 74, controller 82B receives information on vehicle separation  $D$  between the subject vehicle 70B and the adjacent leading vehicle. From the image data provided by front camera 76, controller 82B receives information on vehicle separation  $D$  between the subject vehicle 70B and each of  
15 vehicles in front. From the image data provided by front camera 76, controller 82B receives information on the presence of lane markings on a road, information on the lateral position of the vehicle relative to the lane markings, and information on the angular position of the vehicle relative to the lane markings.  
20 Using such information, controller 82B determines current environment or situation in a field around vehicle 70B. Using the current and past values of environment, controller 82B predicts or determines future environments in the field around vehicle 70B for building of an operator response plan.

25 According to this implementation, the operator response plan includes using the current and future environments to determine the optimum vehicle velocity for vehicle 70B to take, establishing the optimum pedal positions of an accelerator pedal and a brake pedal for vehicle 70B to travel at the determined  
30 optimum vehicle velocity, and determining the optimum accelerator and brake reaction characteristics for prompting the vehicle operator to operating the accelerator pedal and brake pedal to the optimum pedal positions. Controller 82B

The flow diagram in Figure 12 illustrates a control routine of the second implementation of the present invention. The control routine, generally indicated at 160, is executed at

regular time intervals of 50 milliseconds.

At input box 162, the processor of controller 82B inputs acquired data by DAS 72B. Using the image data from front camera 76, the processor determines the position of lane markings and the position of each of vehicles in front relative to the lane markings. Based on the relative position of each of the vehicles in front to the lane markings, the processor picks up a leading vehicle on the same lane as a target to be monitored by laser radar 74 for the subsequent control. The processor inputs an angular location of the leading vehicle, and a vehicle separation  $D$  between the subject vehicle 70B and the leading vehicle from laser radar 74. The processor receives vehicle velocity  $V_f$  from source of vehicle speed 80.

At box 164, using the acquired data received at box 162, the processor determines current environment in a field around vehicle 70B. Particularly, the processor builds a current hazard map visualizing the possibility of collision of the subject vehicle 70B with the following vehicle from current and past values of the acquired data.

The possibility of collision with the leading vehicle lowers as the vehicle 70B separates from it. This possibility may be expressed as a function of the reciprocal of vehicle separation or the reciprocal of the square of vehicle separation. If the relative velocity between the two vehicles can be neglected, isograms in the hazard map are concentric circles with the location of the vehicle as the center. However, if the relative velocity between the two vehicles is not negligible, the isograms protrude in the direction of a vector of the relative velocity.

Figure 13 illustrates an example of a current environment expressed in terms of a hazard map at the moment ( $t = 0$ ). The environment involves a vehicle traveling scenario within a lane on road. A leading vehicle 120 exists in the same lane. Vehicle 70B is traveling at a vehicle velocity  $V_f$ , while vehicle 120 is

-22-

P02NM-045US 01-00643

traveling at a vehicle velocity  $V_a$ . As  $V_f > V_a$ , a vector originating at vehicle 120 indicates the relative velocity between the two vehicles 70B and 120. At the moment ( $t = 0$ ), there is a sufficient vehicle separation.

5       At box 166, after it has determined the current environment, the processor predicts or determines future environments related to different future moments. The different future moments have different time values, respectively. The time values are arranged regularly with the largest time value  
10       being a predetermined time value of 5 seconds in this implementation.

Figure 14 illustrates an example of one future environment expressed in terms of a hazard map at a future moment ( $t = T$ ). At this future moment ( $t = T$ ), the vehicle  
15       separation is not sufficient.

At box 168, the processor uses the predicted future environments to determine the optimum path at each of the moments. The following provides a description on what has been taken into account in determining the optimum path at  
20       each moment. The optimum path has been determined by finding, in a hazard map for each moment, a provisional location, within an area around the location of vehicle 70B, where the collision possibility is the local minimum. The processor checks on one after another of the provisional location and the adjacent  
25       other locations whether or not longitudinal acceleration due to a change from the current location to the one of the locations falls within an acceptable range. If the provisional location has past the test, it is used as the optimum location. If not, any one of the adjacent other locations that has past the text is used as the  
30       optimum location. In the second implementation, the lateral control is out of consideration. Hence, the optimum path is found in the same lane so that it may be taken by taken by vehicle 70B by varying the vehicle velocity.

At box 170, the processor determines the optimum vehicle velocity  $V^*$  to accomplish the optimum path, the optimum accelerator and brake pedal positions  $\theta_A^*$  and  $\theta_B^*$ , and accelerator and brake pedal reaction characteristics. Figure 15 provides an example of a variation curve of the optimum vehicle velocity  $V^*$ . Figures 16A and 16B provide one example of a coordinated variations of optimum accelerator and brake pedal positions  $\theta_A^*$  and  $\theta_B^*$  to accomplish the optimum velocity variation shown in Figure 15. In Figures 16A and 16B, during period of time  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ , the optimum accelerator pedal position  $\theta_A^*$  slightly reduces to gradually slow vehicle 70B down. During the subsequent period of time  $T_2$  and  $T_3$ , the optimum accelerator pedal position  $\theta_A^*$  has reduced to zero and the optimum brake pedal position  $\theta_B^*$  increases temporally to effectively decelerate vehicle 70B. Finally, during period of time  $T_3$  and  $T_4$ , the optimum accelerator pedal position  $\theta_A^*$  accelerate vehicle 70B until it can beautifully follow the leading vehicle.

According to the second implementation, modulating accelerator and brake pedal reaction characteristic has accomplished the desired coordinated variations of the optimum accelerator and brake pedal positions  $\theta_A^*$  and  $\theta_B^*$ .

Figure 17 provides one example of accelerator pedal reaction characteristic, which is provided at a moment of the variation curve of Figure 16A, to prompt the vehicle operator to operating the accelerator pedal at the optimum accelerator pedal position  $\theta_A^*$  at the moment. The accelerator reaction characteristic of Figure 17 is recessed from the usual reaction characteristic, as indicated by slope angle  $K_{A0}$ , at the optimum accelerator pedal position  $\theta_A^*$ . As accelerator pedal position  $\theta_A$  moves to the right out of this optimum accelerator pedal position  $\theta_A^*$ , the accelerator pedal reaction force  $F_A$  increases at slope angle of  $K_{AF}$ . As accelerator pedal position  $\theta_A$  moves to the left out of this optimum accelerator pedal position  $\theta_A^*$ , the

accelerator pedal reaction force  $F_A$  increases at slope angle of  $K_{AB}$ .

The slope angles  $K_{AF}$  and  $K_{AB}$  are not fixed and determined by gradient of the collision possibility if deviated longitudinally from the optimum position. Such gradients can be given from isograms around the optimum position within the predicted hazard maps (see box 166 in Figure 12). Operator manual effort to operate the accelerator pedal in a direction to increase longitudinal deviation from the optimum position is opposed by a reaction force determined by slope angle  $K_{AF}$  or  $K_{AB}$ . If a rapid change in the collision possibility exits on the leading side of the optimum point, the slope angle  $K_{AF}$  increases to provide increased reaction force. If a rapid change in the collision possibility exits on the trailing side of the optimum point, the slope angle  $K_{AB}$  increases to provide increased reaction force. This reaction characteristic prompts the vehicle operator to operating the accelerator pedal to track the optimum path.

Figure 18 provides one example of brake pedal reaction characteristic, which is provided at a moment of the variation curve of Figure 16B, to prompt the vehicle operator to operating the brake pedal at the optimum brake pedal position  $\theta_b^*$  at the moment. The brake pedal reaction characteristic of Figure 18 is recessed from the usual reaction characteristic, at the optimum brake pedal position  $\theta_b^*$ . As brake pedal position  $\theta_b$  moves to the right out of this optimum brake pedal position  $\theta_b^*$ , the brake pedal reaction force  $F_B$  increases at slope angle of  $K_{BF}$ . As brake pedal position  $\theta_b$  moves to the left out of this optimum brake pedal position  $\theta_b^*$ , the brake pedal reaction force  $F_B$  increases at slope angle of  $K_{BB}$ .

The slope angles  $K_{BF}$  and  $K_{BB}$  are not fixed and determined by gradient of the collision possibility if deviated longitudinally from the optimum position. Such gradients can be given from isograms around the optimum position within the predicted



hazard maps (see box 166 in Figure 12). Operator manual effort to operate the brake pedal in a direction to increase longitudinal deviation from the optimum position is opposed by a reaction force determined by slope angle  $K_{BF}$  or  $K_{BB}$ . If a rapid change in the collision possibility exits on the leading side of the optimum point, the slope angle  $K_{BB}$  increases to provide increased reaction force. If a rapid change in the collision possibility exits on the trailing side of the optimum point, the slope angle  $K_{BF}$  increases to provide increased reaction force. This reaction characteristic prompts the vehicle operator to operating the brake pedal to track the optimum path.

At output box 172, the processor outputs first command indicative of the determined accelerator pedal reaction characteristic and second command indicative of the determined brake pedal reaction characteristic. The first command is applied to the modulator 144 of the actuator 140. Under the control of the modulator 144, servo motor 146 operates to provide the determined accelerator pedal reaction characteristic to the manual effort applied to the accelerator pedal by the vehicle operator. The second command is applied to the modulator 148 of the actuator 142. Under the control of the modulator 148, brake booster 150 operates to provide the determined brake pedal reaction characteristic to the manual effort applied to the brake pedal by the vehicle operator.

### Third Implementation of the Invention [First Embodiment]

Figure 20 provides hardware and Figure 21 illustrates how the hardware is arranged on an automobile 70C.

In Figure 20, the reference numeral 40C generally indicates a driving assist system. Driving assist system 40C includes an on board data acquisition system (DAS) 72C. DAS 72C, mounted to the vehicle 70C, includes laser radar 74 and a source of vehicle speed 80. The laser radar 74 and source of

vehicle speed 80 are mounted to vehicle 70C in the same manner as the second implementation (see Figures 5 and 6).

In Figure 20, driving assist system 40C includes a microprocessor based controller 82C. Controller 82C receives  
5 the acquired data from DAS 72C in the same manner as controller 82B receives the acquired data from DAS 72B. From source of vehicle speed 80, controller 82C receives information on the vehicle velocity  $V_r$ . From laser radar 74, controller 82B receives information on vehicle separation  $D$  between the  
10 subject vehicle 70C and the adjacent leading vehicle and relative velocity  $V_r$  between them.

Controller 82C determines, by calculation for example, a first extent to which vehicle 70C has approached to a leading vehicle from vehicle separation  $D$  between the two vehicles and  
15 relative velocity  $V_r$  between them. Controller 82C determines current environment in a field around vehicle 70C from the first extent. Further, controller 82C predicts how the current environment will progress in future and uses the result of such prediction to output command to a controller called an  
20 accelerator pedal reaction characteristic modulator 144 of an accelerator reaction modulation actuator 140. Modulation actuator 140 includes a servo motor 146 and a stroke sensor 178.

Referring also to Figure 22, servo motor 146 and stroke  
25 sensor 178 are incorporated in a link mechanism of an accelerator pedal 152. Stroke sensor 178 senses the magnitude of operator manual effort applied to accelerator pedal 152. The output of stroke sensor 178 is fed to modulator 144. In response to command from modulator 144, servo motor 146  
30 produces torque, modulating reaction force to the manual effort applied by vehicle operator to accelerator pedal 152.

Controller 82C receives information on vehicle separation  $D$  between vehicle 70C and a leading vehicle, information on

relative velocity  $V_r$  between the vehicles, and information on vehicle velocity  $V_f$  of vehicle 70C from the acquired data by DAS 72C. Using the received information, controller 82C determines, by calculation for example, the first extent (=a first risk category) to which vehicle 70C has approached the leading vehicle, and a second extent (= a second risk category) to which vehicle 70C might be influenced due to a predictable change in movement of the leading vehicle. Controller 82C uses the first and second extents to predict future environment expressed in terms of risk perceived (RP), determines an accelerator pedal reaction command  $\Delta F$  based on the RP. Command  $\Delta F$  is applied to modulator 144. Modulator 144 controls servo motor 146 in response to command  $\Delta F$ , thus modulating reaction force versus stroke characteristic of accelerator pedal 152.

In Figure 23, a set of two parallel line segments interconnected by another set of two parallel line segments to enclose an area dotted illustrate usual reaction force characteristic. Under this condition, no additional force is applied to modulate the reaction force characteristic. With the accelerator pedal reaction modulation, this usual reaction force characteristic is elevated by  $\Delta F$  over the whole range of stroke. As  $\Delta F$  is proportional to RP, the vehicle operator can perceive through accelerator pedal 162 the current environment and predicted future environment in the field around vehicle 70C.

The flow diagram in Figure 24 illustrates a control routine of the third implementation. The control routine, generally indicated at 180, is executed at regular time intervals of 50 milliseconds.

At input box 182, the processor of controller 82C inputs acquired data by DAS 72C. What are read at box 182 include velocity  $V_f$  of vehicle 70C, vehicle separation  $D$  between vehicle 70C and a leading vehicle, relative velocity  $V_r$  between the vehicles, and velocity  $V_a$  of the leading vehicle.

At box 184, the processor calculates time to contact (TTC) and time headway (THW).

We introduced the notion of TTC to quantify a first extent to which the subject vehicle 70C has approached to a leading vehicle in the traffic scene illustrated in Figure 2. This first extent is introduced to represent how much the vehicle 70C has approached to the leading vehicle.

TTC is expressed as,

10 
$$TTC = D/V_r \quad \text{Eq. 3}$$

where,

$D$  = Vehicle separation between the vehicles in the traffic scene illustrated in Figure 2

$V_r$  = Relative vehicle velocity  $V_f - V_a$

15

In the traffic scene of Figure 2, most operators perceived risk and operated the following vehicles for deceleration well before TTC becoming less than 4 seconds. We considered that, risk, which each vehicle operator perceived, prompted the operator to operate the vehicle longitudinally to resume sufficient vehicle separation. The shorter TTC, the greater the magnitude of risk, which the leading vehicle causes the vehicle operator of the following vehicle to perceive, is. However, there is some discrepancy between TTC and risk perceived by the vehicle operator. Thus, TTC only is insufficient to quantify or express the risk perceived by operator.

The presence of such discrepancy may be confirmed by considering a traffic scene where the relative velocity  $V_r$  between the leading and following vehicles is zero. In this case, TTC is infinite irrespective of how short the vehicle separation  $D$  is. Apparently, the risk perceived by the operator of the following vehicle varies with different distances of vehicle separation  $D$ . The shorter the vehicle separation  $D$ , the bigger the risk

30

With reference to Figure 25, this section provides a further description on the above issue. Immediately before the moment  $t = 0$ , the relative velocity  $V_r$  between the leading and following vehicles is positive and fixed, and TTC has been updated by calculating the equation 3. In operating the following vehicle, the operator keeps on predicting the magnitude of an unpredictable change, particularly, a drop, in velocity  $V_a$  of the leading vehicle, which might occur at the moment  $\Delta t$  after. Accurate prediction of  $V_a$  at the moment  $\Delta t$  after is impossible, however. Thus, the operator tries to predict the velocity  $V_a$  with considerable dispersion as illustrated in Figure 25. In Figure 25, if, at the moment  $\Delta t$ , the velocity  $V_a$  drops to  $V_2$  from  $V_1$ , TTC becomes shorter than expected  $\Delta t$  before, resulting in an increase in risk than expected. Predication of such increase in TTC from the current and past values of TTC is difficult.

To overcome this difficulty, according to the third  
20 implementation, we introduced the notion of time headway  
(THW). THW is a physical quantity quantifying a second extent  
to which TTC might be influenced if a change in velocity  $V_a$  of a  
leading vehicle should occur. The second extent is introduced to  
represent how much a change in relative velocity  $V_r$ , if any in  
25 immediate future, might influence TTC. THW is expressed as,

**or**

$$THW = D/V_f \quad Eq. 5$$

*THW is a measure of a timer that is set to count when the leading vehicle reaches a point on a road and will be reset subsequently when the following vehicle will reach the same*

point. The longer THW, the smaller the second extent is. That is, when THW is long, the first extent is not greatly influenced due to a change in  $V_a$ , if any, of the leading vehicle, so that a change in TTC is sufficiently small.

5        Using THW is to quantify the influence caused due to a future change in  $V_a$ . In this respect, the use of THW determined by  $V_a$  is recommendable rather than the other THW determined by  $V_r$ . Besides, the former represents the risk that is perceived by the operator with better accuracy than the latter does.  
10       However, if a value of  $V_a$  that is given by a measure of  $V_r$  and a measure of  $V_r$  is less reliable than a value of  $V_r$ , the use of THW determined by  $V_r$  is recommendable. In the traffic scene where  $V_a = V_r$ , the equations 4 and 5 are equally recommendable.

      With continuing reference to the flow diagram in Figure 24,  
15       after calculating TTC and THW at box 184, the logic goes to box 186. At box 186, the processor uses TTC and THW to create a physical quantity that is indicative of risk perceived (RP) by the vehicle operator. We introduced the notion of RP, which we call "Risk Perceived", to represent the magnitude of risk, which a  
20       vehicle operator would perceive upon predicting future environment in a field around the vehicle. In the traffic scene illustrated in Figure 2, the environment in the field around vehicle involves the presence of a leading vehicle. In creating the RP, we introduced the reciprocal of TTC ( $1/TTC$ ) as the first  
25       extent and the reciprocal of THW ( $1/THW$ ) as the second extent. According to the third implementation, they are summed to produce the RP, which is expressed as,

$$RP = a(1/THW) + b(1/TTC) \quad \text{Eq. 6,}$$

30

      where  $b$  and  $a$  ( $b > a$ ) are parameters weighting the first extent ( $1/TTC$ ) and the second extent ( $1/THW$ ), respectively, such that the second extent ( $1/THW$ ) is less weighted than the first extent

( $1/TTC$ ) is. The values of  $b$  and  $a$  are optimized after accounting for a statistics of values of THW and TTC collected in the traffic scene including leading and trailing vehicles. In this implementation,  $b = 8$  and  $a = 1$ .

5

The equation 3 clearly reveals that time to contact  $TTC$  is a first risk category or component indicating how long it takes for a following vehicle to contact with a leading vehicle if it is assumed that the relative velocity  $V_r$  between the vehicles is constant. The equations 4 and 5 reveal that time headway  $THW$  is a second risk category or component indicating how long it takes for the following vehicle to arrive at the point, which the leading vehicle has arrived at, from the instance of arrival of the leading vehicle if it is assumed that the relative velocity  $V_r$  will be subject to a drop. Using the first and second risk categories  $TTC$  and  $THW$ , defined by current values of  $D$ ,  $V_r$ ,  $V_a$  and  $V_r$ , in the equation 6 yields risk perceived  $RP$ , which represents a predicted future environment in the field around the vehicle. This operation is nothing but predicting a future environment in a field around the vehicle using current values of  $D$ ,  $V_r$ ,  $V_a$  and  $V_r$ .

The  $RP$  can provide quantitative expression as to how much a following vehicle has approached to a leading vehicle continuously over a range from its separating from the leading vehicle to its approaching to same. The more it increases, the more strongly an operator perceives risk of excessively approaching to the leading vehicle in immediate future.

The  $RP$  map in Figure 26 illustrates, within a  $THW$ - ( $1/TTC$ ) plane, isograms of  $RP$  drawn based on the equation 6. The horizontal axis represents  $THW$ . The horizontal axis is a horizontal line originating at a value of 0.0 on the vertical axis and extending in the rightward direction. The vehicle is assumed to be following a leading vehicle with an unaltered relative velocity  $V_r$ . In Figure 26, the vehicle is operating at

some operating point on the horizontal axis. The further the operating point on the horizontal axis is distant from the vertical axis in the rightward direction, the further the vehicle is separated from the leading vehicle. The vertical axis represents the reciprocal of TTC ( $1/TTC$ ). Now, when the vehicle is assumed to start approaching to the leading vehicle due to a drop in relative velocity  $V_r$  ( $= V_f - V_a$ ). In Figure 26, the operating point at which the vehicle is operating moves from the operating point on the horizontal axis as directed by an approaching motion vector. This motion vector is a resultant vector of a horizontal vector component oriented in the leftward direction and a vertical vector component in the upward direction. Next, when the vehicle is assumed to start separating from the leading vehicle due to an increase in relative velocity  $V_r$ . In Figure 26, the operating point at which the vehicle is operating moves from the operating point on the horizontal axis as directed by a separating motion vector. This motion vector is a resultant vector of a horizontal vector component oriented in the rightward direction and a vertical vector component in the downward direction.

The operating point approaching to the leading vehicle moves through an upper section of the isograms of RP in a direction increasing RP, while the separating point separating the leading vehicle moves through a lower or the remaining section of the isograms RP in a direction reducing RP. The upper and lower sections are interconnected at the horizontal axis. In the upper section, lines of the isograms extend from vertically spaced generally equidistant start points on the right edge of the RP map to differently spaced intermediate points on the horizontal axis, respectively. The intermediate points are arranged such that a distant between the adjacent two points of them becomes narrower and narrower as the THW becomes shorter and shorter. The lines of the isograms curve



downwardly from the start points to the intermediate points to define a range of the highest or higher values of the RP. Such range is reached at a value of the reciprocal of TTC ( $1/TTC$ ), which becomes smaller as the value of THW becomes smaller.

5 Further, with the same value of THW, the higher the reciprocal of TTC ( $1/TTC$ ), the higher the RP is. Besides, with the same value of the reciprocal of TTC ( $1/TTC$ ), the shorter the THW, the higher the RP is. In the lower section, lines of the isograms extend from

10 vertically spaced generally equidistant start points on a vertical line, not illustrated, near the left edge of the RP map to the differently spaced intermediate points on the horizontal axis and a point on the right edge of the map, respectively. The lines of the isograms curve upwardly from the start points to the intermediate points to define a range of the lowest or zero value

15 of the RP.

With continuing reference to the flow diagram of Figure 24, after calculation of the RP at box 186, the logic goes to box 188.

At box 188, the processor uses the RP to determine an accelerator pedal reaction command  $\Delta F$ , which is expressed as,

20

$$\Delta F = K \cdot RP$$

Eq. 7

where,

$K$  = Constant determined for adjustment.

25 As readily seen from the RP map in Figure 26, the RP takes various values continuously over different values of THW and  $1/TTC$  of environment in a space around the vehicle. The RP determines the command  $\Delta F$  as expressed by the equation 7, which, in turn, determines accelerator pedal reaction force. This

30 makes it possible for the operator to continuously know information how much the vehicle has approached to the leading vehicle.

At the next box 190, the processor outputs the command

$\Delta F$  and applies it to the accelerator pedal reaction characteristic modulator 144 (see Figure 20).

The preceding description on the equation 6 and the RP map in Figure 26 clearly reveals that the RP takes various values continuously even if the first extent (1/TTC) and/or the second extent (1/THW) are subject to a change. Such smooth and continuous change in the RP makes it possible to vary accelerator pedal reaction force accordingly. Hence, the operator can recognize varying of environment through smooth and continuous change in accelerator pedal reaction force.

[Second Embodiment]

The second embodiment of the third implementation is substantially the same as the first embodiment thereof except the manner of producing the RP. In the first embodiment, the RP was expressed as the equation 6. In this second embodiment, RP is expressed as,

$$RP = \max \{a/THW, b/TTC\} \quad \text{Eq. 8}$$

where,  $b$  and  $a$  ( $b > a$ ) are parameters weighting the first extent (1/TTC) and the second extent (1/THW), respectively, such that the second extent (1/THW) is less weighted than the first extent (1/TTC) is. The values of  $b$  and  $a$  are optimized after accounting for a statistics of values of THW and TTC collected in the traffic scene including leading and trailing vehicles. In this implementation,  $b = 8$  and  $a = 1$ .

According to the equation, the larger one of  $a/THW$  and  $b/TTC$  is selected and used as RP.

The RP map in Figure 27 illustrates, within a THW- (1/TTC) plane, isograms of RP drawn based on the equation 8. The horizontal axis represents THW. The horizontal axis is a horizontal line originating at a value of 0.0 on the vertical axis

and extending in the rightward direction. The vertical axis represents the reciprocal of TTC ( $1/TTC$ ).

Prior to a further description on the RP map in Figure 27, this section provides a brief review of the equation 6 and its RP map in Figure 26. When the vehicle is assumed to be separating from the leading vehicle, the relative vehicle velocity  $V_r$  is less than zero, and the term  $1/TTC$  of the equation 6 is less than zero because TTC corresponds to  $D/V_r$ . According to the equation 6, with the same THW, the RP takes various small values for different minus values of  $1/TTC$ . Because the RP takes such small values, the accelerator pedal reaction command  $\Delta F$  takes small values, accordingly.

According to the equation 8 and the RP map in Figure 27, the above-mentioned influence on the RP when the vehicle is separating from the leading vehicle is eliminated. This is because the equation 8 selects  $a/THW$  as RP when  $b/TTC$  is less than 0. With the same THW, the RP does not drop below a value that is determined by a current value of THW over different minus values of the reciprocal of  $1/TTC$ . This provides a gradual reduction in RP when the vehicle is separating from the leading vehicle.

According to the third implementation, the RP is indicative of the magnitude of risk actually felt or perceived by an operator of a vehicle when, for example, involved in a traffic scene including a leading vehicle ahead. In the first embodiment, the RP is expressed by the equation 6 and illustrated in Figure 26. In the second embodiment, the RP is expressed by the equation 8 and illustrated in Figure 27. The RP determines the accelerator pedal reaction command  $\Delta F$  as expressed by the equation 7. The command  $\Delta F$  determines the magnitude of accelerator pedal reaction force to the manual effort applied to the accelerator pedal 152 by the operator. The equation 7 clearly indicates that the accelerator pedal reaction force is proportional to the RP.

*In the first embodiment of the third implementation, the equation 6 is used to produce RP. As is readily seen from the RP map in Figure 26, the RP varies continuously, so that the accelerator pedal reaction command  $\Delta F$  and the accelerator pedal reaction force vary continuously, accordingly. Via such accelerator pedal reaction force, the operator is kept being informed of varying of environment in a field around the vehicle*

with different values of  $1/TTC$  and  $THW$ .

In the second embodiment of the third implementation, the equation 8 is used to produce  $RP$ . As illustrated in Figure 27, the  $RP$  does not vary rapidly when the vehicle is separating from the leading vehicle where the values of  $1/TTC$  are less than zero and very small. Because the  $RP$  does not vary rapidly, it is possible to control accelerator pedal reaction force, without any sudden change.

In the embodiments according to the third implementation, time to contact  $TTC$  and time headway  $THW$  are provided by calculation of simple equations involving easily measurable or obtainable physical quantities like velocity  $V_f$  of trailing vehicle, velocity  $V_a$  of leading vehicle, and vehicle separation  $D$ . This works in suppressing an increase, if any, in number of component parts of a driving assist system.

As has been described in connection with the equations 6 and 8, the parameter  $b$  is greater than the parameter  $a$  ( $b > a$ ) such that the second extent ( $1/THW$ ) is less weighted than the first extent ( $1/TTC$ ) is. In the embodiments of the third implementation, the first extent ( $1/TTC$ ) is heavily weighted than the second extent ( $1/THW$ ) in producing the  $RP$ .

#### Fourth Implementation of the Invention

Figure 28 provides hardware and Figure 29 illustrates how the hardware is arranged on an automobile 70D.

In Figure 28, the reference numeral 40D generally indicates a driving assist system. Driving assist system 40D is substantially the same, in hardware, as driving assist system 40C except the provision of a front camera 76 and a communication tool 208. Thus, the like reference numerals are used to indicate like parts or portions throughout Figures 20, 21, 28 and 29. The front camera 76 and communication tool 208 are parts of an on board data acquisition system (DAS) 72D mounted to the vehicle 70D. DAS 72D further includes laser

radar 74 and a source of vehicle speed 80. DAS 72D is substantially the same as DAS 72B shown in Figure 5 except the provision of communication tool 208 mounted to the vehicle 70D. Communication tool 208 includes an infrastructure receiver or a  
 5 GPS receiver and receives information from an antenna 210 attached to the vehicle 70D. In the same manner as the second implementation (see Figures 5 and 6), the laser radar 74, front camera 76, and source of vehicle speed 80 are mounted to the vehicle 70D.

10 Driving assist system 40D includes a microprocessor based controller 82D. Controller 82D receives information on vehicle separation  $D$  between vehicle 70D and a leading vehicle, information on relative velocity  $V_r$  between the vehicles, and information on velocity  $V_f$  of vehicle 70D from the acquired data  
 15 by laser radar 74 and source of vehicle speed 80 of DAS 72D. Controller 82D also receives information on the leading vehicle after processing the image data captured by front camera 70. The processing of the image data includes filtering and image pattern recognition. Such processing may be carried out within  
 20 or outside of controller 82D. Controller 82D receives current and future information ahead of vehicle 70D from communication tool 208. Such information captured by communication tool 208 via antenna 210 will be later described.

Using the received information, controller 82D determines,  
 25 by calculation for example, the first extent  $1/TTC$  to which vehicle 70D has approached the leading vehicle. Controller 82D determines a period of time  $\tau_p$  in response to the current value of the first extent  $1/TTC$ , and predicts a future value of the first extent the determined period of time after as a future environment in a field around vehicle 70D. In this  
 30 implementation, a risk perceived  $RP$  by operator expresses the future environment. Based on the  $RP$ , controller 82D determines an accelerator pedal reaction command  $\Delta F$ .

Command  $\Delta F$  is applied to an accelerator pedal reaction characteristic modulator 144. Modulator 144 controls a servo motor 146 in response to command  $\Delta F$ , thus modulating reaction force versus stroke characteristic of an accelerator pedal 152.

The flow diagram in Figure 30 illustrates a control routine of the fourth implementation. The control routine, generally indicated at 220, is executed at regular time intervals of 50 milliseconds.

At input box 222, the processor of controller 82D inputs acquired data by DAS 72D. What are read at box 222 include velocity  $V_r$  of the vehicle 70D, vehicle separation  $D$  between vehicle 70D and a leading vehicle, relative vehicle velocity  $V_r$  between the vehicles, and velocity  $V_a$  of the leading vehicle.

At box 224, the processor calculates time to contact (TTC) that is expressed by the equation 3. As mentioned before in the third implementation, time to contact TTC is introduced to quantity a first extent to which the subject vehicle 70D has approached to a leading vehicle in the traffic scene illustrated in Figure 2. As is readily seen from the equation 3, time to contact TTC indicates how much it takes for the subject vehicle to come into contact with a leading vehicle. When velocity  $V_a$  is higher than velocity  $V_r$ , the relative velocity  $V_r$  is negative. In this case, time to contact TTC is negative.

At box 226, the processor determines a period of time  $\tau_p$ , which indicates how many seconds to come prior to a future environment to be predicted, in response to the time to contact TTC. The period of time  $\tau_p$  is expressed as,

$$\tau_p = f(1/TTC) \quad \text{Eq. 9}$$

This function involves, as a variable, the reciprocal of TTC. The fully drawn curve in Figure 31 illustrates this function. As shown

in Figure 31, the larger the reciprocal of TTC ( $1/TTC$ ), the smaller the period of time  $\tau_p$  is.

The period of time  $\tau_p$  corresponds to the magnitude of risk that is felt or perceived by the vehicle operator. In the case  
5 where time to contact TTC is negative or long so that the first extent ( $1/TTC$ ) is small, the subject vehicle 70D separates sufficiently from the leading vehicle. The current environment in the field around vehicle 70D does not require urgent attention by the operator. In such environment, the operator can afford to  
10 predict future environment long time to come to account for all available potential risk. Thus, the period of time  $\tau_p$  is long. In the case where time to contact TTC is short so that the first extent ( $1/TTC$ ) is large, the subject vehicle 70D has approached to the leading vehicle. The current environment in the field  
15 around vehicle 70D indicates the presence of risk in immediate future. In such environment, the operator will pay attention to the leading vehicle and cannot afford to predict future environment long time to come to account for all available potential risk. Thus, the period of time  $\tau_p$  is short.

20 With continuing reference to the flow diagram in Figure 30, after determining the period of time  $\tau_p$  at box 226, the logic goes to box 228. At box 228, the processor inputs the acquired data at communication tool 208. What is read at box 228 includes;

- 25 1. Operating state of a leading vehicle (such as, velocity  $V_a$  and acceleration/deceleration) that is obtained through intervehicle communication; and
2. Traffic condition and road condition in front of the subject vehicle, which are obtained through infrastructure communication.

30 The use of intervehicle communication is limited to the case where the leading vehicle has intervehicle communication tool.

At the next box 230, the processor inputs the acquired image data at front camera 76. The image data covering the



front view of vehicle 70D is subject to image and pattern recognition for the processor to determine the presence of a leading vehicle and whether its rear brake lamps are turned "on" or "off". The information as to the state of the brake lamps is used later for predicting the magnitude of deceleration of the leading vehicle.

At box 260, the processor predicts deceleration  $XG_a$  of the leading vehicle. If the leading vehicle is capable of performing intervehicle communication, the processor inputs the information on deceleration  $XG_a$  of the leading vehicle out of the acquired data through the intervehicle communication. If the leading vehicle is not capable of performing the intervehicle communication, the processor predicts the deceleration  $XG_a$  in the following manner.

First, the processor determines, out of the acquired image data from the front camera 76, whether or not the brake lamps of the leading vehicle is turned "on". If this is the case, the processor measures, using a timer, for example, the elapse of time when the brake lamps are turned "on". Using the measure of the elapse of time and the variation of velocity  $V_a$  of the leading vehicle, the processor predicts the deceleration  $XG_a$ . For example, if the measure of the elapse of time is less than 0.5 seconds, the processor sets a predetermined value as a virtual deceleration value of the leading vehicle. If the measure of the elapse of time is not less than 0.5 seconds, the processor determines a predicted value by calculation out of the rate of variation of past values of velocity  $V_a$  of the leading vehicle.

The predicted deceleration  $XG_a$  of the leading vehicle is corrected accounting for the homogeneous degree of traffic flow. The homogeneous degree of traffic flow is predicted based on the traffic congestion of the road, which may be obtained, at box 228, out of the acquired data from the communication tool 208. The logic is such that the homogeneous degree of traffic flow is

high when the traffic is congested and the variation of vehicle velocity  $V_a$  is small. The processor corrects the predicted deceleration  $XG_a$  in response to the predicted homogeneous degree of traffic flow. For example, the processor corrects the predicted deceleration  $XG_a$  such that the predicted deceleration  $XG_a$  decreases as the predicted homogeneous degree of traffic flow increases. This is because a vehicle will not be accelerated or decelerated greatly when the road is congested and the homogeneous degree of traffic flow is high.

At the next block 234, the processor predicts future value of the first extent (TTC) that would occur  $\tau_p$  after, using the current value of the first extent (TTC) obtained at box 224, the period of time  $\tau_p$  determined at box 226, and the deceleration  $XG_a$  predicted at box 232. First, the processor calculates velocity of the subject vehicle 70D, velocity of the leading vehicle, and the vehicle separation between the vehicles. The processor calculates deceleration  $XG_r$  of the subject vehicle 70D based on the current velocity  $V_r$ . When it is assumed that deceleration  $XG_r$  and  $XG_a$  remains unaltered during the period of time  $\tau_p$ , future values of  $V_r$ ,  $V_a$  and  $D$  are expressed as,

$$V_f(t_0 + \tau_p) = V_f(t_0) + XG_f \times \tau_p \quad \text{Eq. 10}$$

$$V_a(t_0 + \tau_p) = V_a(t_0) + XG_b \times \tau_p \quad \text{Eq. 11}$$

$$D(t_0 + \tau_p) = D(t_0) - V_r \times \tau_p + (1/2) \times (XG_a - XG_f) \times \tau_p^2 \text{ Eq. 12}$$

*Using the results given by calculations of the above equations 10-12, the processor predicts the future TTC, which is expressed as,*

$$TTC(t_0 + \tau_p) = D(t_0 + \tau_p) / \{ V_A(t_0 + \tau_p) - V_B(t_0 + \tau_p) \} \quad \text{Eq. 13}$$

where,  $t_0$  is the current moment.

At box 236, the processor predicts future environment by calculating RP, which is expressed as,

$$RP = b / TTC(t_0 + \tau_p) \quad \text{Eq. 14}$$

5

At box 238, the processor uses the RP to determine an accelerator pedal reaction command  $\Delta F$ , which is expressed by the equation 7.

At the next box 240, the processor outputs the command  $\Delta F$  and applies it to the accelerator pedal reaction characteristic modulator 144 (see Figure 28).

According to the fourth implementation, the period of time  $\tau_p$  is determined as a function of time to contact TTC. Time to contact TTC is determined based on  $V_r$ ,  $V_a$ ,  $V_r$  and  $D$ . Using the information from the acquired data by front camera 76 and communication tool 208, the deceleration of a leading vehicle  $XG_a$  is predicted. Using this deceleration  $XG_a$ , a future value of TTC that would occur  $\tau_p$  after is predicted. Risk perceived PR is determined as a function of the reciprocal of this future value of TTC. Finally, the RP is used to determine accelerator pedal reaction command  $\Delta F$ .

According to the fourth implementation, a future value of extent to which vehicle 70D might approach to a leading vehicle is predicted from a current value of the extent. This future value of the extent corresponds to the real risk perceived or felt by the operator. In this implementation, RP (risk perceived) is indicative of this future value. The RP is predicted as a quantity indicative of future environment in a field around vehicle 70D. Accounting for the predicted RP, the accelerator pedal reaction control is performed.

The period of time  $\tau_p$  is used for prediction of a future value of RP. The period of time  $\tau_p$  is variable with the extent to which vehicle 70D has approached to the leading vehicle. The faster

the vehicle approaches to the leading vehicle, the more immediate future is selected for the prediction. This has provided an accelerator pedal reaction control that corresponds to the magnitude of risk actually perceived or felt by operator.

5 Like the previously described third implementation, the operator is continuously kept informed of how fast the vehicle is approaching to the leading vehicle through the magnitude of reaction force felt during manipulation of accelerator pedal.

As different from the third implementation, the  
10 deceleration  $XG_a$  of the leading vehicle, which is determined on the acquired data at front camera 76 and/or communication tool 208, is used. The use of deceleration  $XG_a$  has enhanced prediction of future environment in a manner more closely corresponding to the magnitude of risk actually perceived by  
15 operator.

In the fourth implementation, a current value and a future value of time to contact TTC are provided by calculation of equations involving, as variables, such physical quantities as vehicle speed and vehicle separation. This has lead to the  
20 minimal number of new components needed for installing a driving assist system in a vehicle. Using communication tool 208 to receive information on deceleration  $XG_a$  for use in the predication enhances the accuracy of a future value of TTC.

In the fourth implementation, both front camera 76 and  
25 communication tool 208 are used to determine deceleration  $XG_a$ . The present invention is not limited to this example. Another example is the use of front camera 76 or communication tool 208. Further example is the use of information provided by laser radar 74 or source of vehicle speed 80. A future value of TTC as  
30 determined using such deceleration  $XG_a$  as predicted is satisfactorily reliable.

In the fourth implementation, laser radar 74 is used. Such laser radar may be replaced by millimeter radar or radar of other

type.

#### *Fifth Implementation of the Invention*

*The fifth implementation is substantially the same as the third implementation except the provision of producing a selected one of different alert categories to be informed of via an appropriate alarm. Thus, the same technique is used in the third and fifth implementations in determining TTC and THW.*

*The flow diagram of Figure 32 illustrates a control routine of a first embodiment of the fifth implementation. The control routine, generally indicated at 250, is executed at regular time intervals of 50 milliseconds.*

*The control routine 250 is substantially the same as the control routine 180 illustrated in Figure 24 in that boxes 252, 254 and 256 correspond to boxes 182, 184 and 186, respectively. A description on the boxes 252, 254 and 256 is hereby omitted for brevity. The following paragraph provides a description on two boxes 258 and 260 that follow box 256.*

*In Figure 32, at box 258, the processor of controller 82C (see Figure 20) selects one of alert categories in response to the RP. Various alert categories are stored for different ranges in magnitude of RP. For example, alert category elevates as RP increases, in magnitude, into the adjacent higher range from a current range. Each alert category may contain the repletion rate of alarm such that the repetition rate of alarm elevates with elevation of alert category. At output box 260, the processor outputs the selected alert category to the alarm.*

*Setting alert category in response to the RP as described above will keep operator informed of the magnitude of risk when vehicle has approached to a leading vehicle and/or when influence due to a predicted future change in environment is great.*

*In this embodiment, the RP expressed by the equation 6 has been used. Alternatively, RP expressed by the equation 8 or*

14 may be used. An example using the equation 14 will be explained below.

The flow diagram of Figure 33 illustrates a control routine of a second embodiment of the fifth implementation. The control routine, generally indicated at 270, is executed at regular time intervals of 50 milliseconds.

The control routine 270 is substantially the same as the control routine 220 illustrated in Figure 30 in that boxes 272, 274, 276, 278, 280, 282, 284 and 286 correspond to boxes 222, 224, 226, 228, 230, 232, 234 and 236, respectively. A description on the boxes 272, 274, 276, 278, 280, 282, 284 and 286 is hereby omitted for brevity. The following paragraph provides a description on two boxes 288 and 290 that follow box 286.

In Figure 33, at box 288, the processor of controller 82D (see Figure 28) selects one of alert categories in response to the RP that has been determined at box 286. Various alert categories are stored for different ranges in magnitude of RP. For example, alert category elevates as RP increases, in magnitude, into the adjacent higher range from a current range. Each alert category may contain the repetition rate of alarm such that the repetition rate of alarm elevates with elevation of alert category. At output box 290, the processor outputs the selected alert category to the alarm.

Setting alert category in response to the RP as described above will keep operator informed of the magnitude of risk when vehicle has approached to a leading vehicle and/or when influence due to a predicted future change in environment is great.

In the embodiments of the fifth implementation, the repetition rate of alarm has been varied for different alert categories. The invention is not limited to the repetition rate of alarm. Another example is to vary the volume and/or tone of

*alarm for different alert categories. Other example is to use various kinds of voice for different alert categories.*

#### *Sixth Implementation of the Invention*

*The sixth implementation is substantially the same as the third, fourth and fifth implementations in the use of equation 6 in determining the RP. However, according to the sixth implementation, the use of equation 6 is limited to provide a new RP, which does not keep operator from operating an automobile 70C (see Figures 20-24) to approach to a leading vehicle when the vehicle separation D is sufficiently long.*

*The fully drawn curve in Figure 34 illustrates the varying of RP expressed by the equation 6 with time t when the vehicle starts, at zero point ( $t = 0$ ), approaching to the leading vehicle at the rate of a very low approaching speed of 10 km/hour. For ease of understanding, it is assumed that, during the first half from the zero point ( $t = 0$ ) to a threshold moment ( $t = t_a$ ), the vehicle separation D between the vehicles is sufficiently long and the risk actually perceived or felt by the operator falls in a low risk level range. The second half begins immediately after the threshold moment ( $t = t_a$ ). During the second half, the vehicle separation D becomes shorter and shorter and the risk actually perceived by the operator grows with respect to time.*

*During the first half, paying much attention mainly to THW, the operator manipulates an accelerator pedal. During this first half, the RP expressed by the equation 6 and felt by the operator through the accelerator pedal grows in response to the reciprocal of THW, which the operator pays attention to. The risk actually perceived by the operator does not grow in response to the reciprocal of THW, however. Specifically, during the first half, the RP expressed by the equation 6 grows at the rate less than the rate at which the risk actually perceived by operator grows. In Figure 34, the dotted line illustrates the varying of the risk actually perceived by operator during the first*

half and the subsequent transient to the second half.

According to the sixth implementation, a new risk perceived  $RP_1$  is used to compensate for an insufficiency provided by the RP expressed by the equation 6. To avoid  
5 confusion, the RP expressed by the equation 6 is represented by a current risk perceived  $RP_0$ .

Thus, the  $RP_0$  is expressed as,

$$RP_0 = RP = a(1/THW) + b(1/TTC) \quad \text{Eq. 15}$$

10

where  $b$  and  $a$  ( $b > a$ ) are parameters weighting the first extent ( $1/TTC$ ) and the second extent ( $1/THW$ ), respectively, such that the second extent ( $1/THW$ ) is less weighted than the first extent ( $1/TTC$ ) is. The values of  $b$  and  $a$  are optimized after accounting  
15 for a statistics of values of THW and TTC collected in the traffic scene including leading and trailing vehicles. In this implementation,  $b = 8$  and  $a = 1$ .

$$\text{The } RP_1 = (c - THW) + (b/TTC) \quad \text{Eq. 16}$$

20

where  $b$  and  $c$  are parameters. In this implementation,  $b = 8$  and  $c = 2.5$ .

In this implementation, the threshold of THW is 0.5 second  
25 ( $THW = 0.5$ ). When  $THW > 0.5$ , the  $RP_1$  is used. When  $THW \leq 0.5$ , the  $RP_0$  is used. The graph in Figure 35 illustrated this "hybrid" use of two  $RP_0$  and  $RP_1$ . In Figure 35, the one-dot chain line illustrates the variation of  $RP_1$  against THW and the fully drawn curve illustrates the variation of  $RP_0$  against THW. In this  
30 implementation, the  $RP_1$  is used when  $THW > 0.5$ , and the  $RP_0$  is used when  $THW \leq 0.5$ .

#### Seventh Implementation of the Invention

The seventh implementation is substantially the same as



the sixth implementation. In this seventh implementation, another  $RP_2$  is used. The  $RP_2$  is expressed as,

$$RP_2 = d(c - THW) + (a/THW) + (b/TTC) \quad \text{Eq. 17}$$

5

where  $a$ ,  $b$ ,  $c$  and  $d$  are parameters. In this implementation,  $b = 8$ , and  $c = 2.5$ .

$d$  and  $a$  are determined as follows:

when  $THW \leq 0.5$ :  $d = 0$ ,  $a = 1$

10 when  $THW > 0.5$ :  $d = 1$ ,  $a = 0$ .

Apparently, the equation 17 provides the same performance as the equations 15 and 16 provide.

Referring to Figure 36, an improved version using the  
15 equation 17 is described. According to this improved version, the parameters  $a$  and  $d$  used in the equation 17 are varied continuously in the illustrated pattern in Figure 36 to provide a smooth transition between two discrete  $RP_0$  and  $RP_1$  that exist in the equation 17. In Figure 36, the one-dot chain line illustrates  
20 the variation of parameter  $a$  with respect to  $THW$ , and the fully drawn line illustrates the variation of the parameter  $b$  with respect to  $THW$ . The fully drawn curve in Figure 37 illustrates the smooth varying of  $RP_s$  when the parameters  $a$  and  $b$  are varied as illustrated in Figure 36.

#### 25 Eighth Implementation of the Invention

Figure 38 provides hardware and Figure 39 illustrates how the hardware is arranged on an automobile 70E.

In Figure 38, the reference numeral 40E generally  
30 indicates a driving assist system. Driving assist system 40E is a hybrid including an on board data acquisition system (DAS) 72 and a steering reaction modulation actuator 84, which are substantially the same as their counterparts in the previously described driving assist system 40A (Figure 3), and an

accelerator reaction modulation actuator 140 and a brake reaction modulation actuator 142, which are substantially the same as their counterparts in the previously described driving assist system 40B (Figure 5).

5 In Figure 36, driving assist system 40E includes a microprocessor-based controller 82E.

Controller 82E recognizes the state of obstacles within environment in a field around vehicle 70E by determining velocity  $V_r$  of vehicle 70E, relative position and relative velocity  
10 between vehicle 70E and each of other vehicles within the environment, and relative position between the adjacent lane marking and each of obstacles within the environment. Based on the recognized obstacle state, controller 82E determines risk which each of the recognized obstacles would cause the operator  
15 to perceive. Controller 82E divides each of the risks into a longitudinal risk component and a lateral risk component, and sums all of the longitudinal risk components to give a total longitudinal risk and all of the lateral risk components to give a total lateral risk. Based on the total longitudinal and lateral risks,  
20 controller 82E determines longitudinal commands and a lateral command.

Controller 82E outputs the longitudinal commands for application to an accelerator pedal reaction characteristic modulator 144 and a brake pedal reaction characteristic  
25 modulator 148, respectively. In response to the applied longitudinal commands, the accelerator pedal reaction characteristic modulator 144 and the brake pedal reaction characteristic modulator 148 control servo motor 146 and brake booster 150, thereby modulating the accelerator pedal and  
30 brake pedal reaction force characteristics. Modulating the accelerator pedal and brake pedal reaction characteristics prompt the vehicle operator to manipulating an accelerator pedal 152 and a brake pedal 154 to appropriate positions,

respectively.

Controller 82E outputs the lateral command for application to a steering reaction characteristic modulator 86. In response to the applied lateral command, the steering reaction  
5 characteristic modulator 86 controls servo motor 88. Modulating the steering reaction characteristic prompts the vehicle operator to manipulating a steering wheel to an appropriate angular position.

The flow diagram in Figure 40 illustrates a control routine of the eighth implementation of the present invention. The  
10 control routine, generally indicated at 310, is executed at regular time intervals of 50 milliseconds.

At input box 312, the processor of controller 82E inputs acquired data by DAS 72. Specifically, the processor inputs  
15 vehicle velocity  $V_r$ , an angular location of a leading vehicle and a vehicle separation  $D$  between the subject vehicle 70E and the leading vehicle. The processor also inputs relative position of the vehicle 70E to the adjacent lane marking out of the image data from the front camera 76. The relative position of the  
20 vehicle 70E to the adjacent lane marking includes a lateral position and an angular position of the vehicle 70E with respect to the lane marking. Out of the image data from the front camera 76, the processor further inputs the shape of the lane marking. Out of the image data from the front camera 76, the  
25 processor still further inputs an angular location of the leading vehicle and a vehicle separation  $D$  between the vehicle 70E and the leading vehicle. Out of the image data from RRS and RLS cameras 78R and 78L, the processor inputs an angular location of each of vehicles existing in the adjacent lane(s) and a vehicle  
30 separation between the vehicle 70E and each of the vehicles. The processor also input velocity of the vehicle 70E from the source of vehicle speed 80. Besides, the processor recognizes the presence of and kinds of obstacles around the vehicle 70E

out of the image data from the front camera 76 and the image data from RRS and RLS cameras 78R and 78L. Recognizing the kinds of obstacles includes pattern recognition to identify them whether they are a four wheel vehicle or a two wheel vehicle or  
5 a pedestrian.

At box 314, the processor determines current environment in the field around the vehicle 70E in terms of position of each of the obstacles/vehicles relative to the vehicle 70E, direction of movement of each of the obstacles relative to  
10 the vehicle 70E, and speed at which each of the obstacles relative to the vehicle 70E. Specifically, the processor determines current values of position, direction and speed of each of the obstacles/vehicles from the past stored values thereof that were determined in the previous cycles and the  
15 input data at box 312.

At box 316, using the data on current environment determined at box 314, the processor calculates time to collision TTC between the vehicle 70E and each of the obstacles.  $TTC_k$  indicates TTC between the vehicle 70E and an obstacle k.  $TTC_k$   
20 is expressed as,

$$TTC_k = \frac{D_k - \sigma(D_k)}{V_{rk} + \sigma(V_{rk})} \quad \text{Eq. 18}$$

where

$D_k$  = Separation (or distance) between the vehicle 70E and an  
25 obstacle k;

$V_{rk}$  = Relative velocity between the vehicle 70E and the obstacle k;

$\sigma(D_k)$  = Variance of separation; and

$\sigma(V_{rk})$  = Variance of relative velocity.

30

The following several paragraphs provide a description on the variances  $\sigma(D_k)$  and  $\sigma(V_{rk})$ .

An error in data might grow should if a sensor or a camera be subject to uncertainty or unforeseeable event. Accounting for how much such an error might be, variance of separation  $\sigma(D_k)$  and variance of relative velocity  $\sigma(V_{rk})$  are determined depending upon what an obstacle  $k$  is and which sensor or camera is used to recognize the obstacle  $k$ .

For example, the laser radar 74 is superior to a CCD camera, which is used as the front camera 76 and RRS and RLS cameras 78R and 78L, in its capability of providing accurate measure in separation or distance between two vehicles irrespective of how far they are separated. The curves in Figure 41 illustrate the variances of separation  $\sigma(D_k)$  set for laser radar 74 and CCD camera, respectively. The CCD camera is used for front camera 76 and RRS and RLS cameras 78R and 78L. As shown in Figure 41, when laser radar 74 is used to measure a separation  $D_k$  between the vehicle 70E and an obstacle  $k$  in the form of an automobile, variance  $\sigma(D_k)$  is kept constant over any different values in the separation to be measured. When the CCD camera is used to measure the separation  $D_k$ , variance  $\sigma(D_k)$  increases exponentially. When the separation  $D_k$  is less than a predetermined value, the CCD camera provides better accuracy than laser radar 74 does, and variance  $\sigma(D_k)$  for CCD camera is less than that for laser radar 74.

The curves in Figure 42 illustrate the variances of relative velocity  $\sigma(V_{rk})$  set for laser radar 74 and CCD camera, respectively. As shown in Figure 42, when laser radar 74 is used to measure relative velocity  $V_{rk}$  between the vehicle 70E and an obstacle  $k$  in the form of an automobile, variance  $\sigma(V_{rk})$  increases linearly as the relative velocity  $V_{rk}$  increases. When the CCD camera is used to measure the relative velocity  $V_{rk}$ , variance  $\sigma(V_{rk})$  increases exponentially.

Using pattern recognition technique, image data from front camera 76 and RRS and RLS cameras 78R and 78L are

analyzed by the processor to determine what an obstacle  $k$  is. A family of curves in Figure 43 illustrates the variance  $\sigma(D_k)$  set for CCD camera. A family of curves in Figure 44 illustrates the variance  $\sigma(V_{rk})$  set for CCD camera. A four wheel vehicle, a two  
5 wheel vehicle, a pedestrian and lane markings were selected and used as an obstacle  $k$  for measurement by the CCD camera.

When the CCD camera is used to measure the separation  $D_k$ , the bigger the obstacle  $k$ , the higher the accuracy of measurement is. Accordingly, as shown in Figure 43, the  
10 variance  $\sigma(D_k)$  for measuring separation from a four wheel vehicle is less than that for measuring separation from two wheel vehicle or pedestrian.

When the CCD camera is used to measure the relative velocity  $V_{rk}$ , the variance  $\sigma(V_{rk})$  is determined depending on the  
15 magnitude of relative velocity which may be assumed for the kinds of obstacle  $k$ , respectively. As shown in Figure 44, the higher the assumed relative velocity, the larger the variance  $\sigma(V_{rk})$  is. Comparing relative velocity assumed for four wheel vehicle to that assumed for two wheel vehicle or that assumed  
20 for pedestrian, the former is higher than the latter. Accordingly, the variance  $\sigma(V_{rk})$  for four wheel vehicle is set greater than that for two wheel vehicle or that for pedestrian.

In Figure 43, the variance  $\sigma(D_k)$  for lane marking is set less than those for four wheel vehicle, two wheel vehicle and  
25 pedestrian. In Figure 44, the variance  $\sigma(V_{rk})$  for lane marking is set less than those for four wheel vehicle, two wheel vehicle and pedestrian.

With reference again to the flow diagram in Figure 40, after calculating  $TTC_k$  at box 316, the logic goes to box 318. At  
30 318, the processor uses  $TTC_k$  to calculate risk perceived  $RP_k$ , which an obstacle  $k$  would cause operator to perceive.  $RP_k$  is expressed as,



perceived  $RP_k$  including risk with regard to lane markings  $RP_{lane}$  to provide a total longitudinal risk  $RP_{Longitudinal}$ . The total longitudinal risk  $RP_{Longitudinal}$  is expressed as,

$$5 \quad RP_{Longitudinal} = \sum_i RP_i \cos \theta_i \quad \text{Eq. 21}$$

where,

$\theta_k$  = Angular location of an obstacle  $k$  as viewed from the vehicle 70E  $\theta_k = 0$  when the obstacle  $k$  is located in front of the vehicle.  $\theta_k = 180$  when the obstacle  $k$  is located behind the  
10 vehicle.

At the next block 322, the processor sums lateral components of individual risk perceived  $RP_k$  including risk with regard to lane markings  $RP_{lane}$  to provide a total lateral risk  
15  $RP_{Lateral}$ . The total longitudinal risk  $RP_{Longitudinal}$  is expressed as,

$$RP_{Lateral} = \sum_i RP_i \sin \theta_i \quad \text{Eq. 22}$$

At box 324, the processor determines longitudinal  
20 commands by referring to the relationship shown in Figure 45 and the relationship shown in Figure 46 using the total longitudinal risk  $RP_{Longitudinal}$ . One of the longitudinal commands, namely, an accelerator pedal reaction command  $F_A$ , is provided to modulate an accelerator pedal reaction characteristic. The  
25 other command, namely, a brake pedal reaction command  $F_B$ , is provided to modulate a brake pedal reaction characteristic. They provide such a relationship that, when the total longitudinal risk  $RP_{Longitudinal}$  increases, the accelerator pedal reaction command  $F_A$  increases, prompting operator to releasing  
30 the accelerator pedal 152, while the brake pedal reaction force  $F_B$  decreases, prompting the operator to depressing the brake pedal 154.



The fully drawn line in Figure 45 illustrates the relationship between the accelerator pedal reaction command  $F_A$  and the total longitudinal risk  $RP_{Longitudinal}$ . As will be readily seen from Figure 45, the command  $F_A$  remains zero when  $RP_{Longitudinal}$  is less than a first threshold  $RP_0$ . When  $RP_{Longitudinal}$  exceeds the first threshold  $RP_0$  but it is still less than a second threshold  $RP_{max}$  that is greater than the first threshold  $RP_0$ , the command  $F_A$  is proportional to  $RP_{Longitudinal}$ . The command  $F_A$  takes the maximum when  $RP_{Longitudinal}$  exceeds the second threshold  $RP_{max}$ .

The fully drawn line in Figure 46 illustrates the relationship between the brake pedal reaction command  $F_B$  and the total longitudinal risk  $RP_{Longitudinal}$ . When  $RP_{Longitudinal}$  is greater than the second threshold  $RP_{max}$ , the greater longitudinal risk  $RP_{Longitudinal}$ , the less the command  $F_B$  is. When  $RP_{Longitudinal}$  is greater than a third threshold  $RP_1$  that is greater than the second threshold  $RP_{max}$ , the command  $F_B$  is at the minimum  $F_{Bmin}$ , holding the brake pedal reaction force to the minimum. When  $RP_{Longitudinal}$  is not greater than the second threshold  $RP_{max}$ , the command  $F_B$  is zero, leaving the brake pedal reaction characteristic unaltered.

With reference to Figures 45 and 46, when the longitudinal risk  $RP_{Longitudinal}$  is not greater than the threshold  $RP_{max}$ , the accelerator pedal reaction characteristic is altered, letting operator know the magnitude of longitudinal risk  $RP_{Longitudinal}$  by means of a change in reaction force of the accelerator pedal. When the longitudinal risk  $RP_{Longitudinal}$  exceeds the threshold  $RP_{max}$ , the accelerator pedal reaction force is maximized, prompting the operator to releasing the accelerator pedal. At the same time, the brake pedal reaction force is reduced, allowing the brake pedal to be depressed easily when the operator steps on the brake pedal.

In Figure 40, at box 326, the processor determines a lateral command by referring to the relationship shown in Figure

47 using the total lateral risk  $RP_{Lateral}$ . The lateral command, namely, a steering reaction command  $F_S$ , is provided to modulate a steering reaction characteristic. It provides such a relationship that, when the total lateral risk  $RP_{Lateral}$  increases, the steering reaction command  $F_S$  increases in a manner to prompt operator to steering the vehicle to reduce the risk  $RP_{Lateral}$ . The fully drawn line in Figure 47 provides the relationship between the total lateral risk  $RP_{Lateral}$  and the steering reaction command  $F_S$ . In Figure 47, the total lateral risk  $RP_{Lateral}$  is positive when risk on the right hand side exceeds that on the left hand side, but it is negative when risk on the left hand side exceeds than on the right hand side.

As shown in Figure 47, the steering reaction command  $F_S$  ranges from 0 to a maximum right-turn reaction  $F_{Smax}$  when the total lateral risk  $RP_{Lateral}$  is greater than 0 but less than a right-turn threshold  $RP_{max}$ . When the total lateral risk  $RP_{Lateral}$  is in the vicinity of zero, the command  $F_S$  is zero, leaving steering reaction characteristic unaltered. Subsequently, when the total lateral risk  $RP_{Lateral}$  increases, the command  $F_S$  increases with the increasing total lateral risk  $RP_{Lateral}$ . When the total lateral risk  $RP_{Lateral}$  is equal to or greater than the right-turn threshold  $RP_{max}$ , the command  $F_S$  stays at the maximum right-turn reaction  $F_{Smax}$ . As the command  $F_S$  increases from zero, the reaction force to operator right turn steering effort increases from a level of the usual steering reaction characteristic.

With continuing reference to Figure 47, the steering reaction command  $F_S$  ranges from 0 to a maximum left-turn reaction  $-F_{Smax}$  when the total lateral risk  $RP_{Lateral}$  is less than 0 but greater than a left-turn threshold  $-RP_{max}$ . When the total lateral risk  $RP_{Lateral}$  is in the vicinity of zero, the command  $F_S$  is zero, leaving steering reaction characteristic unaltered. Subsequently, when the total lateral risk  $RP_{Lateral}$  decreases, the command  $F_S$  decreases with the decreasing total lateral risk

$RP_{Lateral}$ . When the total lateral risk  $RP_{Lateral}$  is equal to or less than the left-turn threshold  $-RP_{max}$ , the command  $F_S$  stays at the maximum left-turn reaction  $-F_{Smax}$ . As the command  $F_S$  decreases from zero, the reaction force to operator left turn steering effort increases from a level of the usual steering reaction characteristic.

With reference again to Figure 40, at output box 328, the processor outputs the commands determined at boxes 324 and 326 using the illustrated relationships in Figures 45, 46 and 47.

These commands include the accelerator pedal reaction command  $F_A$ , the brake pedal reaction command  $F_B$ , and the steering reaction command  $F_S$  for application to the accelerator pedal reaction characteristic modulator 144, brake pedal reaction characteristic modulator 148 and steering reaction characteristic modulator 86 (see Figure 38), respectively.

The preceding description on the flow diagram in Figure 40 clearly indicates that the controller 82E accounts for longitudinal and lateral risk components calculated based on individual risk derived from each of obstacles within a field around the vehicle 70E. In response to the longitudinal and lateral risk components, the controller 82E allocates commands  $F_A$ ,  $F_B$  and  $F_S$  for prompting operator to operating the vehicle 70E longitudinally and laterally in an appropriate manner to cope with such risk components. This may be readily confirmed by referring to the description on boxes 320, 322, 324 and 326. In the eighth implementation of the invention, this allocating function is implemented in terms of software (instructions) component. However, the invention is not limited to this. The allocating function may be implemented in terms of software and hardware components or hardware component.

In the eighth implementation, the controller 84E determines the magnitudes of accelerator pedal reaction command  $F_A$ , brake pedal reaction command  $F_B$ , and steering

reaction command  $F_S$  based on the total longitudinal risk  $RP_{Longitudinal}$  and total lateral risk  $RP_{Lateral}$ . In this implementation, the magnitudes of such commands are determined using the relationships illustrated in Figures 45, 46 and 47. These  
 5 illustrated relationships show only one example of an operator response plan that prompts operator to operating the vehicle 70E in a manner to negotiate with the longitudinal and lateral risks  $RP_{Longitudinal}$  and  $RP_{Lateral}$ . In this example, the accelerator pedal reaction characteristic, brake pedal reaction characteristic  
 10 and steering reaction characteristic are modulated or altered to prompt the operator to operating the vehicle 70E in a direction toward where the longitudinal and lateral risks  $RP_{Longitudinal}$  and  $RP_{Lateral}$  are lowered.

With reference to Figures 38 to 47, the eighth  
 15 implementation of the present invention has been described. This implementation provides features as follows.

(1) As information on environment in a field around the vehicle 70E, the controller 82E determines the presence of all of obstacles, including a leading vehicle, a trailing vehicle, a vehicle  
 20 in the adjacent lane, a pedestrian, and lane markings. The controller 82E calculates, for each of the obstacles, a risk  $RP_k$ . Accounting for the risks  $RP_k$  from all directions of the vehicle 40E, the controller 82E allocates commands  $F_A$ ,  $F_B$ , and  $F_S$  for prompting the vehicle operator to operating the vehicle 40E  
 25 longitudinally and laterally in a direction appropriate to cope with the available risks  $RP_k$ . It will be appreciated that this implementation provides an appropriate assist to the vehicle operator.

(2) The controller 82E provides an appropriate assist to  
 30 manual effort to operate the accelerator pedal by modulating or altering accelerator pedal reaction in response to the determined command  $F_A$ .

(3) For each of the obstacles, the controller 82E calculates

25 (6) With respect to the vehicle 70E, angular locations of all of the obstacles cause the controller 82E to calculate longitudinal and lateral risk components and provide appropriate allocation of various commands  $F_A$ ,  $F_B$  and  $F_S$  to the longitudinal and lateral risks. Accordingly, the controller 82E allocates commands  $F_A$ ,  $F_B$   
30 and  $F_S$  to prompting operator to operating the vehicle longitudinally and laterally in accordance with the angular

locations of the obstacles.

(7) The controller 82E provides an appropriate assist to manual effort to operate the steering wheel by modulating or altering steering reaction in response to the determined command  $F_S$ . Further, the controller 82E provides an appropriate assist to manual effort to operate the brake pedal by modulating or altering brake pedal reaction in response to the determined command  $F_B$ .

(8) For each of the obstacles, the controller 82E calculates risk  $RP_k$  to calculate longitudinal and lateral risk components  $RP_{Longitudinal}$  and  $RP_{Lateral}$ . In response to the longitudinal and lateral risk components, the controller 82E allocates commands  $F_A$ ,  $F_B$  and  $F_S$  for prompting operator to operating the vehicle 70E longitudinally and laterally in an appropriate manner to cope with such risk components. In this implementation, therefore, the driving system provides assist the operator in prompting to operating the vehicle longitudinally and laterally in response to distribution of individual risks  $RP_k$ . In this implementation, the controller 82E determines  $RP_k$  as a function of the reciprocal of  $TTC_k$ . Therefore, the driving system assist the operator in prompting to operating the vehicle longitudinally and laterally in response to the extent how quickly the vehicle has approached to the obstacle  $k$ .

In this implementation, the controller 82E uses the equation 19 to determine  $RP_k$  as a physical quantity quantifying the extent how quickly the vehicle 70E has approached to an obstacle  $k$ . The invention is not limited to the use of equation 19 to quantify the extent how quickly the vehicle 70E has approached to an obstacle  $k$ . Any appropriate physical quantity may be used in quantifying the extent how quickly the vehicle 70E has approached to an obstacle  $k$ .

#### *Ninth Implementation of the Invention*

The ninth implementation is substantially the same as the

*eighth embodiment illustrated in Figures 38 to 47.*

*Turning back to Figures 38 and 39, Figure 38 provides hardware of the ninth implementation and Figure 39 illustrates how the hardware is arranged on an automobile 70E according to the ninth implementation.*

*In the ninth implementation, a microprocessor-based controller 82E recognizes the state of obstacles within environment in a field around vehicle 70E by determining velocity  $V_r$  of vehicle 70E, relative position and relative velocity between vehicle 70E and each of other vehicles within the environment, and relative position between the adjacent lane marking and each of obstacles within the environment. Based on the recognized obstacle state, controller 82E determines risk which each of the recognized obstacles would cause the operator to perceive. Controller 82E predicts a change in risk  $RP_k$  in response to a change in operator input. Controller 82E calculates a change in total longitudinal risk in response to a change in operator input for longitudinal operation of the vehicle 70E and a change in total lateral risk in response to a change in operator input for lateral operation of the vehicle 70E. Based on the calculated changes in total longitudinal and lateral risks, controller 82E determines longitudinal and lateral commands.*

*Controller 82E inputs the information on the current operator input for longitudinal operation of the vehicle by detecting positions of an accelerator pedal 152 and a brake pedal 154 (see Figure 39). Stroke sensors may be used to measure the positions of such pedals. The positions of such pedals may be determined from the state of a servo motor 146 and the state of a brake booster 150.*

*Controller 82 inputs the information on the current operator input for lateral operation of the vehicle by detecting manipulated angle of the steering wheel.*

*Controller 82E outputs the longitudinal commands for*

application to an accelerator pedal reaction characteristic modulator 144 and a brake pedal reaction characteristic modulator 148, respectively. In response to the applied longitudinal commands, the accelerator pedal reaction characteristic modulator 144 and the brake pedal reaction characteristic modulator 148 control servo motor 146 and brake booster 150, thereby modulating the accelerator pedal and brake pedal reaction force characteristics. Modulating the accelerator pedal and brake pedal reaction characteristics prompt the vehicle operator to manipulating the accelerator pedal 152 and the brake pedal 154 to appropriate positions, respectively.

Controller 82E outputs the lateral command for application to a steering reaction characteristic modulator 86. In response to the applied lateral command, the steering reaction characteristic modulator 86 controls servo motor 88. Modulating the steering reaction characteristic prompts the vehicle operator to manipulating the steering wheel to an appropriate angular position.

The flow diagram in Figure 48 illustrates a control routine of the ninth implementation of the present invention. The control routine, generally indicated at 340, is executed at regular time intervals of 50 milliseconds.

The control routine 340 is substantially the same as the control routine 310 in Figure 40 in that boxes 342, 344, 346 and 348 in Figure 48 are the same as the boxes 312, 314, 316 and 318 in Figure 40, respectively. Accordingly, the detailed description on the boxes 342, 344, 346 and 348 is hereby omitted for brevity.

In Figure 48, after the box 348, the control logic goes to box 350.

At input box 350, the processor inputs information on current operator input through the depressed angle  $\theta_{AB}$  of



accelerator pedal 152 and/or brake pedal 154 and through the manipulated angle of steering wheel. When the operator manipulates the accelerator pedal 152, the processor uses the depressed angle of the accelerator pedal 152 as the depressed  
5 angle  $\theta_{AB}$ . When the operator manipulates the brake pedal 154, the processor uses the depressed angle of the brake pedal 154 as the depressed angle  $\theta_{AB}$ .

At the next box 352, the processor predicts a change in  $RP_k$  in response to a change in operator input. Specifically,  
10 based on the current  $RP_k$  determined at box 348 and the current operator input received at box 350, the processor predicts two new values of  $RP_k$  when there is a unit change from the current operator input in both directions.

With reference to Figure 49, with regard to the operator  
15 input for operating the vehicle in the longitudinal direction, the processor predicts an increase in vehicle velocity when there is an increase by a variation  $\Delta AB$  from the current accelerator/brake pedal depressed angle  $\theta_{AB}$  and it also predicts a decrease in vehicle velocity when there is a decrease  
20 by the variation  $\Delta AB$  from the current accelerator/brake pedal depressed angle  $\theta_{AB}$ . Based on the predicted increase and decrease in vehicle velocity, the processor calculates the new values of  $RP_k$  using the equation 19. In other words, the processor predicts one new value of  $RP_k$  after the vehicle velocity  
25 undergoes the predicted increase, and the other new value of  $RP_k$  after the vehicle velocity undergoes the predicted decrease. Turning back to the equation 19,  $RP_k$  is determined as a function of the reciprocal of  $TTC_k$  that is determined as a function of relative velocity  $V_{rk}$ . From this explanation, it is clear that a  
30 change in vehicle velocity causes a change in vehicle velocity  $V_{rk}$  which in turn causes a change in  $RP_k$ .

For ease of description, it is hereby assumed that the accelerator/brake pedal depressed angle  $\theta_{AB}$  increases when

5

10

25

30

variances are not limited to such fixed values. By using learning technique, the average of depressed angles of accelerator/brake pedal and the average of manipulated steering angles over one driving cycle may be set as the variances  $\Delta AB$  and  $\Delta S$  for the next driving cycle.

With reference again to the flow diagram in Figure 48, at box 354, the processor calculates a change in  $RP_{Longitudinal}$ . Based on  $RP_k$  determined at box 348 and its predicted new values determined at box 352, the processor determines the current total longitudinal risk  $RP_{Longitudinal}(0)$ , a new value of total longitudinal risk  $RP_{Longitudinal}(+)$  for  $\theta AB + \Delta AB$ , and the other new value of total longitudinal risk  $RP_{Longitudinal}(-)$  for  $\theta AB - \Delta AB$ . The current total longitudinal risk  $RP_{Longitudinal}(0)$  is expressed as,

$$RP_{Longitudinal}(0) = \sum_i RP_i \cos \theta_i \quad \text{Eq. 23}$$

Using the equation 21, the processor determines the new value of total longitudinal risk  $RP_{Longitudinal}(+)$  for  $\theta AB + \Delta AB$ , and the other new value of total longitudinal risk  $RP_{Longitudinal}(-)$  for  $\theta AB - \Delta AB$ . Figure 50 illustrates the relationship between the current and two new values of total longitudinal risk.

At the next box 356, the processor calculates a change in  $RP_{Lateral}$ . Based on  $RP_k$  determined at box 348 and its predicted new values determined at box 352, the processor determines the current total lateral risk  $RP_{Lateral}(0)$ , a new value of total lateral risk  $RP_{Lateral}(+)$  for  $\theta S + \Delta S$ , and the other new value of total lateral risk  $RP_{Lateral}(-)$  for  $\theta S - \Delta S$ . The current total lateral risk  $RP_{Lateral}(0)$  is expressed as,

$$RP_{Lateral}(0) = \sum_i RP_i \sin \theta_i \quad \text{Eq. 24}$$

Using the equation 22, the processor determines the new value

of total lateral risk  $RP_{Lateral}(+)$  for  $\theta S + \Delta S$ , and the other new value of total lateral risk  $RP_{Lateral}(-)$  for  $\theta S - \Delta S$ . Figure 51 illustrates the relationship between the current and two new values of total lateral risk.

5        At box 358, the processor determines longitudinal commands including a set of accelerator pedal reaction commands  $F_A(0)$ ,  $F_A(-)$  and  $F_A(+)$ , and a set of brake pedal reaction commands  $F_B(0)$ ,  $F_B(-)$  and  $F_B(+)$ . The processor determines accelerator pedal reaction commands  $F_A(0)$ ,  $F_A(-)$   
10   and  $F_A(+)$  by referring to the relationship illustrated in Figure 52 using  $RP_{Longitudinal}(0)$ ,  $RP_{Longitudinal}(-)$  and  $RP_{Longitudinal}(+)$ , respectively. The fully drawn line in Figure 52 is substantially the same as that in Figure 45. The processor determines brake  
15   pedal reaction commands  $F_B(0)$ ,  $F_B(-)$  and  $F_B(+)$  by referring to the relationship illustrated in Figure 53 using  $RP_{Longitudinal}(0)$ ,  $RP_{Longitudinal}(-)$  and  $RP_{Longitudinal}(+)$ , respectively. The fully drawn line in Figure 53 is substantially the same as that in Figure 46.

At box 360, the processor determines a set of lateral commands  $F_S(0)$ ,  $F_S(-)$  and  $F_S(+)$  by referring to the illustrated  
20   relationship in Figure 54 using  $RP_{Lateral}(0)$ ,  $RP_{Lateral}(-)$  and  $RP_{Lateral}(+)$ , respectively. The fully drawn line in Figure 54 is substantially the same as that in Figure 47.

At output box 362, the processor outputs longitudinal and lateral commands determined at boxes 358 and 360. These  
25   commands include a set of accelerator pedal reaction commands  $F_A(0)$ ,  $F_A(-)$  and  $F_A(+)$  for application to an accelerator pedal reaction characteristic modulator 144 (see Figure 38). They also include a set of brake pedal reaction commands  $F_B(0)$ ,  $F_B(-)$  and  $F_B(+)$  for application to a brake pedal reaction characteristic  
30   modulator 148 (see Figure 38). They further include a set of lateral commands  $F_S(0)$ ,  $F_S(-)$  and  $F_S(+)$  for application to a steering reaction characteristic modulator 86 (see Figure 38).

With reference to Figure 38, in response to the commands

$F_A(0)$ ,  $F_A(-)$  and  $F_A(+)$  from the controller 82E, the accelerator pedal reaction characteristic modulator 144 controls a servo motor 146 to modulate or alter the accelerator pedal reaction characteristic as shown in Figure 55. In Figure 55, broken line

5 indicates the usual accelerator pedal reaction characteristic. At  $\theta_{AB}$ , the accelerator pedal reaction is increased from the level on the usual reaction characteristic by  $F_A(0)$ . Upon manipulating the accelerator pedal from the current depressed angle toward  $\theta_{AB} - \Delta AB$ , the operator feels reaction force increased from the

10 level on the usual reaction characteristic by  $F_A(-)$ . Upon manipulating the accelerator pedal from the current depressed angle toward  $\theta_{AB} + \Delta AB$ , the operator feels reaction force increased from the level on the usual reaction characteristic by  $F_A(+)$ . From a change in reaction force, the operator can

15 recognize how the current risk will vary upon moving the accelerator pedal from the current position.

In response to the commands  $F_B(0)$ ,  $F_B(-)$  and  $F_B(+)$ , the brake pedal reaction characteristic modulator 148 controls a brake booster 150 to modulate or alter the brake pedal reaction

20 characteristic as shown in Figure 56. In Figure 56, broken line indicates the usual brake pedal reaction characteristic. At  $\theta_{AB}$ , the brake pedal reaction is as high as the level on the usual reaction characteristic because  $F_B(0) = 0$ . Upon manipulating the brake pedal from the current depressed angle toward  $\theta_{AB} -$

25  $\Delta AB$ , the operator feels the usual reaction force because  $F_B(-) = 0$ . Upon manipulating the brake pedal from the current depressed angle toward  $\theta_{AB} + \Delta AB$ , the operator feels reaction force decreased from the level on the usual reaction characteristic by  $F_B(+)$ . From a change in reaction force, the

30 operator can recognize how the current risk will vary upon moving the brake pedal from the current position.

In response to commands  $F_S(0)$ ,  $F_S(-)$  and  $F_S(+)$ , the steering reaction characteristic modulator 86 controls a servo



Controller 82E outputs the lateral command for application to a steering reaction characteristic modulator 86. In response to the applied lateral command, the steering reaction characteristic modulator 86 controls servo motor 88. Modulating the steering reaction characteristic prompts the vehicle operator to manipulating the steering wheel to an appropriate angular position.

The flow diagram in Figure 58 illustrates a control routine

The control routine 370 is substantially the same as the control routine 310 in Figure 40 in that boxes 372, 374, 376, 378, 380 and 382 in Figure 58 are the same as the boxes 312, 314, 316, 318, 320 and 322 in Figure 40, respectively. Accordingly, the detailed description on the boxes 372, 374, 376, 378, 380 and 382 is hereby omitted for brevity.

15 as,

**Eq. 25**

20

25

30



degrees, the gain  $G_{Longitudinal}$  is zero. This is the case where the combined risk originates from the side or from behind.

With continuing reference to Figure 59, the gain  $G_{Lateral}$  is 1 at about 90 degrees. This is the case where the combined risk  
5 originates on the lateral side of the vehicle. At 0 and 180 degrees, the gate  $G_{Lateral}$  drops down to zero.

At box 388, the processor determines an accelerator pedal reaction command  $F_A$  and a brake pedal reaction  $F_B$  in the same manner as the processor did at box 324 in Figure 40. As  
10 different from box 324, the processor multiplies  $G_{Longitudinal}$  with each of  $F_A$  and  $F_B$  at box 388.

At box 390, the processor determines a steering reaction command  $F_S$  in the same manner as the processor did at box 326 in Figure 40. As different from box 326, the processor multiplies  
15  $G_{Lateral}$  with  $F_S$  at box 390.

At box 392, the processor outputs the commands determined at boxes 388 and 390 for application to modulators 144, 148 and 86 (see Figure 38).

In the tenth implementation, gains  $G_{Longitudinal}$  and  $G_{Lateral}$   
20 are determined for longitudinal commands and for lateral command. These gains are set in accordance with curves illustrated in Figure 50. With the use of such gains, the controller can allocate commands for prompting the operator to extensively coping with risks in an angular location where the  
25 combined risk originates.

While the present invention has been particularly described, in conjunction with various implementations of the present invention, it is evident that many alternatives, modifications and variations will be apparent to those skilled in  
30 the art in light of the foregoing description. It is therefore contemplated that the appended claims will embrace any such alternatives, modifications and variations as falling within the true scope and spirit of the present invention.

*This application claims the priorities of Japanese Patent Applications No. P2001-252422, filed August 23, 2001, No. P2001-389314, filed December 21, 2001, No. P2002-138266, filed May 14, 2002, and No. P2002-177029, Filed June 18, 2002, 5 the disclosure of each of which is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety.*